

Some variational inequality in L^r and its application to the Helmholtz-Weyl decomposition in 3-D bounded domains.

Hideo Kozono
Mathematical Institute
Tohoku University
Sendai 980-8578 Japan

Taku Yanagisawa
Department of Mathematics
Nara Women's University
Nara 630-8506 Japan

Let us first impose the following assumption on the domain Ω :

Assumption. Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 with the $C^{2+\mu}$ -boundary $\partial\Omega$, where $\mu > 0$.

We denote by $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega)$ the set of all C^∞ -vector functions $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \varphi^2, \varphi^3)$ with compact support in Ω , such that $\operatorname{div} \varphi = 0$. $L_\sigma^r(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the L^r -norm $\|\cdot\|_r$; (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the duality pairing between $L^r(\Omega)$ and $L^{r'}(\Omega)$, where $1/r + 1/r' = 1$. $L^r(\Omega)$ stands for the usual (vector-valued) L^r -space over Ω , $1 < r < \infty$. Let us define the space $V^r(\Omega)$ by

$$(0.1) \quad V^r(\Omega) \equiv \{u \in L^r(\Omega); \operatorname{div} u \in L^r(\Omega), \operatorname{rot} u \in L^r(\Omega), u \times \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}, \quad 1 < r < \infty.$$

It is easy to see that if $u \in L^r(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{rot} u \in L^r(\Omega)$, then it holds $u \times \nu \in W^{1-1/r',r'}(\partial\Omega)^*$. Equipped with the norm $\|u\|_{V^r}$

$$\|u\|_{V^r} \equiv \|\operatorname{div} u\|_r + \|\operatorname{rot} u\|_r + \|u\|_r,$$

we may regard $V^r(\Omega)$ as a closed subset of $W^{1,r}(\Omega)$. Indeed, we have that $V^r(\Omega) \subset W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ with

$$(0.2) \quad \|\nabla u\|_r \leq C\|u\|_{V^r} \quad \text{for all } u \in V^r(\Omega),$$

where $C = C(r)$ is a constant depending only on r . Furthermore, we define $V_\sigma^r(\Omega)$ by

$$V_\sigma^r(\Omega) \equiv \{u \in V^r(\Omega); \operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega\}.$$

Finally, we denote by $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ the space of harmonic vector fields on Ω

$$(0.3) \quad \mathcal{H}(\Omega) \equiv \{h \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega}); \operatorname{div} h = 0, \operatorname{rot} h = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, h \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}.$$

It is well-known that the dimension of $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ is finite. For more precise characterization of $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, see Remark 1 (2) below.

Our main result now reads

Theorem 1 *Let Ω be as in the Assumption. Suppose that $1 < r < \infty$. Then for every $u \in L^r(\Omega)$, there are $p \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$, $w \in V_\sigma^r(\Omega)$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ such that u can be represented as*

$$(0.4) \quad u = h + \operatorname{rot} w + \nabla p.$$

Such a triplet $\{p, w, h\}$ is subordinate to the estimate

$$(0.5) \quad \|\nabla p\|_r + \|w\|_{V^r} + \|h\|_r \leq C\|u\|_r$$

with the constant $C = C(r)$ independent of u . The above decomposition (0.4) is unique. In fact, if u has another expression

$$u = \tilde{h} + \text{rot } \tilde{w} + \nabla \tilde{p}$$

for $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, $\tilde{w} \in V_\sigma^r(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{p} \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$, then we have

$$(0.6) \quad h = \tilde{h}, \quad \text{rot } w = \text{rot } \tilde{w}, \quad \nabla p = \nabla \tilde{p}.$$

An immediate consequence of the above theorem is

Corollary 1 *Let Ω be as in the Assumption. By the unique decomposition (0.4) we have*

$$(0.7) \quad L^r(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}(\Omega) \oplus \text{rot } V_\sigma^r(\Omega) \oplus \nabla W^{1,r}(\Omega), \quad 1 < r < \infty. \quad (\text{direct sum})$$

Let S_r , R_r and Q_r be projection operators associated to (0.4) from $L^r(\Omega)$ onto $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, $\text{rot } V_\sigma^r(\Omega)$ and $\nabla W^{1,r}(\Omega)$, respectively, i.e.,

$$(0.8) \quad S_r u \equiv h, \quad R_r u \equiv \text{rot } w, \quad Q_r u \equiv \nabla p.$$

Then we have

$$(0.9) \quad \|S_r u\|_r \leq C\|u\|_r, \quad \|R_r u\|_r \leq C\|u\|_r, \quad \|Q_r u\|_r \leq C\|u\|_r$$

for all $u \in L^r(\Omega)$, where $C = C(r)$ is the constant depending only on $1 < r < \infty$. Moreover, there holds

$$(0.10) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} S_r^2 = S_r, & S_r^* = S_{r'}, \\ R_r^2 = R_r, & R_r^* = R_{r'} \\ Q_r^2 = Q_r, & Q_r^* = Q_{r'}, \end{array} \right.$$

where S_r^* , R_r^* and Q_r^* denote the adjoint operators on $L^{r'}(\Omega)$ of S_r , R_r and Q_r , respectively.

Remark 1. (1) It is known that

$$(0.11) \quad L^r(\Omega) = L_\sigma^r(\Omega) \oplus \nabla W^{1,r}(\Omega), \quad 1 < r < \infty, \quad (\text{direct sum}).$$

See Fujiwara-Morimoto [4], Solonnikov [11] and Simader-Sohr [9]. Our decomposition (0.7) gives a more precise direct sum of $L_\sigma^r(\Omega)$ such as

$$(0.12) \quad L_\sigma^r(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}(\Omega) \oplus \text{rot } V_\sigma^r(\Omega), \quad 1 < r < \infty. \quad (\text{direct sum})$$

(2) Suppose that the boundary $\partial\Omega$ has $L + 1$ connected components $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_L$ of C^2 -surfaces such that $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_L$ lie inside of Γ_0 with $\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and such that

$$(0.13) \quad \partial\Omega = \bigcup_{j=0}^L \Gamma_j.$$

Moreover, we assume that there are N C^2 -surfaces $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_N$ such that $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and such that

$$(0.14) \quad \dot{\Omega} \equiv \Omega \setminus \Sigma, \Sigma \equiv \bigcup_{j=1}^N \Sigma_j \quad \text{is simply connected.}$$

Then Foias-Temam [3] showed that

$$(0.15) \quad \dim \mathcal{H}(\Omega) = N.$$

They [3] also gave an orthogonal decomposition of $L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$ such as

$$L^2_\sigma(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}(\Omega) \oplus H_1(\Omega) \quad (\text{orthogonal sum in } L^2(\Omega)),$$

where

$$H_1(\Omega) \equiv \{u \in L^2_\sigma(\Omega); \int_{\Sigma_j} u \cdot \nu dS = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, N\}.$$

Yoshida-Giga [13] investigated the operator rot with its domain $D(\text{rot}) = \{u \in H_1(\Omega); \text{rot } u \in H_1(\Omega)\}$ and showed that $H_1(\Omega) = \text{rot } V^2_\sigma(\Omega)$. Furthermore, they [13] gave another type of orthogonal L^2 -decomposition of vector fields $u \in D(\text{rot})$. From our decomposition (0.12) with $r = 2$, it follows also that $H_1(\Omega) = \text{rot } V^2_\sigma(\Omega)$.

(3) In the case when Ω is a star-shaped domain, Griesinger [5] gave a similar decomposition in $L^r(\Omega)$ for $1 < r < \infty$. In her case, it holds $N = 0$. Since she took the smaller space $W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$ than our space $V^r(\Omega)$, it seems to be an open question whether, in the same way as in (0.7), the annihilator $\text{rot } W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)^\perp$ of $\text{rot } W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$ in $L^{r'}(\Omega)$ coincides with $\nabla W^{1,r'}(\Omega)$.

As an application of our decomposition, we have the following gradient estimates of vector fields via div and rot .

Corollary 2 *Assume that $1 < r < \infty$.*

(1) *Let $u \in L^r(\Omega)$ with $\text{div } u \in L^r(\Omega)$, $\text{rot } u \in L^r(\Omega)$ and $u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Then we have $u \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ with the estimate*

$$(0.16) \quad \|\nabla u\|_r \leq C(\|\text{div } u\|_r + \|\text{rot } u\|_r + \|u\|_1),$$

where $C = C(r)$ is the constant independent of u .

(2) *Let $u \in W^{s,r}(\Omega)$ for $s > 1 + 3/r$ with $u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Then we have $\nabla u \in L^\infty$ with the estimate*

$$(0.17) \quad \|\nabla u\|_\infty \leq C \{1 + \|u\|_r + (\|\text{div } u\|_{bmo} + \|\text{rot } u\|_{bmo}) \log(e + \|u\|_{W^{s,r}})\},$$

where $C = C(r)$ is the constant independent of u . For definition of the bmo -norm, see Remark 2 below.

Remark 2. (1) Let us recall the bmo -norm in Ω . For $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we define $\|f\|_{bmo(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ by

$$\|f\|_{bmo(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3, 0 < R < 1} \frac{1}{|B_R(x)|} \int_{B_R(x)} |f(y) - f_{B_R(x)}| dy + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|B_1(x)|} \int_{B_1(x)} |f(y)| dy$$

with $f_{B_R(x)} = \frac{1}{|B_R(x)|} \int_{B_R(x)} f(y) dy$, where $B_R(x)$ denotes the ball in \mathbb{R}^3 centered at x with radius R and $|B_R(x)|$ is its volume. For $g \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ we say $g \in bmo(\Omega)$ if there is an extension $f \in bmo(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $g = f$ on Ω . The bmo -norm $\|g\|_{bmo}$ of g on Ω is defined by

$$\|g\|_{bmo} \equiv \inf\{\|f\|_{bmo(\mathbb{R}^3)}; f \in bmo(\mathbb{R}^3), f = g \text{ on } \Omega\}.$$

(2) von Wahl [12] proved that (0.16) without $\|u\|_1$ on the right hand side holds if and only if $N = 0$, i.e., Ω is simply connected. He also showed the same estimate for $u \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ with $u \times \nu = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ if and only if $L = 0$. Our variational inequality makes it possible to prove (0.16) also for $u \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ with $u \times \nu = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. von Wahl's estimate [12] may be regarded as a special case of ours since we can treat the general case such as (0.13) and (0.14). His method is based on the representation formula for $u \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ via $\operatorname{div} u$ and $\operatorname{rot} u$ which is different from ours.

(3) In \mathbb{R}^3 , by means of the Biot-Savard law, Beale-Kato-Majda [1] and Kozono-Taniuchi [6] obtained a similar estimate to (0.17) for $u \in W^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $s > 1 + 3/r$. More generalized version in the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}^0_{\infty,\infty}$ is found in Kozono-Ogawa-Taniuchi [7]. In the case of simply connected bounded domains Ω in \mathbb{R}^3 , Ferrari showed (0.17) for $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ with $u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. More general case such as (0.13) and (0.14) was treated by Shirota-Yanagisawa [10] and Ogawa-Taniuchi [8].

References

- [1] Beale, J., Kato, T., Majda, A., *Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations*. Comm. Math. Phys. **94**, 61-66 (1984).
- [2] Ferrari, A.B., *On the blow-up of solutions of 3-D Euler equations in a bounded domain*. Commun. Math. Phys. **155**, 277-294 (1993).
- [3] Foias, C., Temam, R., *Remarques sur les equations de Navier-Stokes stationnaires et les phenomenes successifs de bifurcations*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Super. Pisa **5**, 29-63 (1978).
- [4] Fujiwara, D., Morimoto, H., *An L_r theorem of the Helmholtz decomposition of vector fields*. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec.IA **24**, 685-700 (1977).
- [5] Griesinger, R., *Decompositions of L^q and $H_0^{1,q}$ with respect to the operator rot* . Math. Ann. **288**, 245-262 (1990).
- [6] Kozono, H., Taniuchi, Y., *Limiting case of the Sobolev inequality in BMO, with application to the Euler equations*. Comm. Math. Phys. **214**, 191-200 (2000).
- [7] Kozono, H., Ogawa, T., Taniuchi, Y., *The critical Sobolev inequalities in Besov spaces and regularity criterion to some semi-linear evolution equations*. Math. Z. **242**, 251-278 (2002).
- [8] Ogawa, T., Taniuchi, Y., *On blow-up criteria of smooth solutions to the 3-D Euler equations in a bounded domain*. J. Differential Equations **190**, 39-63 (2003).

- [9] Simader, C.G., Sohr, H., *A new approach to the Helmholtz decomposition and the Neumann problem in L^q -spaces for bounded and exterior domains.* "Mathematical Problems relating to the Navier-Stokes Equations" Series on Advanced in Mathematics for Applied Sciences, G.P. Galdi ed., Singapore-New Jersey-London-Hong Kong: World Scientific 1–35 (1992).
- [10] Shirota, T., Yanagisawa, T., *A continuation principle for the 3-D Euler equations for incompressible fluids in a bounded domains.* Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A **69**, 77–82 (1993).
- [11] Solonnikov, V.A., *Estimates for solutions of nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations.* J. Soviet Math. **8**,467–529 (1977).
- [12] von Wahl, W., *Estimating ∇u by $\operatorname{div} u$ and $\operatorname{curl} u$.* Math. Method Appl. Sci. **15**, 123–143 (1992).
- [13] Yoshida, Z., Giga, Y., *Remarks on Spectra of Operator Rot.* Math. Z. **204**, 235–245 (1990).