

A note on the monogeneity and the unit group

Tomokazu Kashio*

2021, March 11

Second meeting of Monogeneity and power integral bases

†

*E-mail: kashio.tomokazu@ma.noda.tus.ac.jp

†Kashio-Sekigawa, The characterization of cyclic cubic fields with power integral bases (arXiv:1912.03103), to appear in Kodai Math. J.

Aim

- Gras (1973) provided a certain characterization of monogenic cyclic cubic fields. (next slide)
- Today: Provide an alternative proof (and something more).
- Focusing on the first cohomology of the unit group.
- Joint work with R. Sekigawa.

Theorem

Let K be a cyclic cubic field (that is, $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$). Then the following are equivalent.

- 1 K is monogenic.
- 2 There exists a unit $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ satisfying that

$$N(\theta) = 1, \quad \text{Tr}(\theta + \theta^{-1}) = -3, \quad \frac{\text{Tr}(\theta^2 - \theta^{-1})}{\sqrt{d_K}} \in \mathbb{N}^3 := \{n^3 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where d_K is the discriminant of K .

Note that $d_K \in \mathbb{N}^2$ for any cyclic cubic field K .

Main result

Definition (Shanks' simplest cubic fields)

Let K_t be the splitting field of $f_t(x) = x^3 - tx^2 - (t+3)x - 1$ ($t \in \mathbb{Z}$). K_t is called a **simplest cubic field**. Each K_t is a cyclic cubic field.

Theorem

A monogenic cyclic cubic field is a simplest cubic field K_t .

Problem

Which K_t is monogenic?

Theorem

K_t is monogenic (except for several t)

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{\Delta_t}{\sqrt{d_K}} \in \mathbb{N}^3 \quad (\Delta_t := t^2 + 3t + 9 = \sqrt{d_{f_t}})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow t \not\equiv 3, 21 \pmod{27} \text{ and } v_p(\Delta_t) \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ for all } p \neq 3.$$

The last condition is easy to check.

Example (non-monogenic K_t ($-1 \leq t \leq 2000$))

21,30,41,48,57,75,84,90,100,102,103,111,129,138,139,152,154,156,165,183,188,
192,201,204,210,219,235,237,246,250,264,269,271,273,291,299,300,318,327,335,
345,348,354,356,372,374,381,384,398,399,404,408,426,433,435,438,446,453,462,
480,482,489,495,507,515,516,531,534,543,544,561,565,570,573,577,580,588,593,
597,602,607,615,624,642,651,669,678,691,696,705,716,723,727,732,742,750,759,
776,777,786,789,804,813,825,831,838,840,844,858,867,874,876,885,887,894,912,
921,923,926,936,939,945,948,966,975,985,992,993,1002,1020,1021,1029,1034,
1047,1056,1070,1074,1080,1083,1096,1101,1110,1114,1119,1128,1132,1137,1155,
1164,1168,1181,1182,1191,1209,1210,1217,1218,1230,1236,1237,1245,1249,1263,
1265,1266,1269,1272,1279,1287,1290,1299,1317,1326,1328,1344,1353,1364,1371,
1377,1380,1398,1407,1413,1418,1425,1434,1452,1461,1462,1475,1479,1488,1497,
1506,1511,1515,1524,1533,1542,1560,1563,1569,1573,1587,1596,1609,1614,1621,
1622,1623,1641,1648,1650,1668,1671,1677,1695,1704,1707,1720,1722,1731,1743,
1749,1756,1758,1776,1785,1790,1803,1805,1812,1818,1830,1839,1854,1857,1866,
1867,1884,1893,1903,1911,1916,1920,1925,1938,1947,1952,1959,1965,1974,1992.

Proof for [monogenic \Rightarrow simplest cubic field]

Let K be a cyclic cubic field. There exists a unique integral ideal \mathfrak{C}_K satisfying $N\mathfrak{C}_K = \sqrt{d_K}$.

Assume K is monogenic, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_K = (\gamma - \gamma')$, where γ' is a conjugate of γ

$\because \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$ implies $d_K \sim ((\gamma - \gamma')(\gamma - \gamma'')(\gamma' - \gamma''))^2 \sim (\gamma - \gamma')^6$

$\Rightarrow \exists \theta \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ s.t. $1 + \theta + \theta\theta' = 0$. More explicitly, $\theta := \frac{\gamma' - \gamma''}{\gamma - \gamma'} \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$

$\because 1 + \theta + \theta\theta' = 1 + \frac{\gamma' - \gamma''}{\gamma - \gamma'} + \frac{\gamma' - \gamma''}{\gamma - \gamma'} \frac{\gamma'' - \gamma}{\gamma' - \gamma''} = \frac{\gamma - \gamma' + \gamma' - \gamma'' + \gamma'' - \gamma}{\gamma - \gamma'} = 0$,

\mathfrak{C}_K is fixed by the conjugation by definition.

$\Rightarrow K = K_t$ for the parameter $t := Tr(\theta)$

$\because (x - \theta)(x - \theta')(x - \theta'') = x^3 - Tr(\theta)x^2 + Tr(\theta\theta')x - 1$
 $= x^3 - tx^2 - (t + 3)x - 1 = f_t(x)$.

i.e., K is a simplest cubic field

Need certain additional condition for the opposite direction because there are non-monogenic simplest cubic fields.

Theorem

K_t is monogenic (except for several t)

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{\Delta_t}{\sqrt{d_{K_t}}} \in \mathbb{N}^3 := \{n^3 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow t \not\equiv 3, 21 \pmod{27}, v_p(\Delta_t) \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ for all } p \neq 3.$$

The second equivalence easily follows since we can write d_{K_t} explicitly:

$$d_{K_t} = \begin{cases} \prod_{v_p(\Delta_t) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}} p^2 & (3 \nmid t \text{ or } t \equiv 12 \pmod{27}), \\ 3^4 \prod_{p \neq 3, v_p(\Delta_t) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}} p^2 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Provide a sketch of proof of the first equivalence.

Preparation

- K : a cyclic cubic field, $G := \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.
- $P_K := \{(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in K^\times\}$: the group of principal fractional ideals of K
 $\Rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\times \rightarrow K^\times \xrightarrow{\alpha \mapsto (\alpha)} P_K \rightarrow 1$, with actions by G
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times \rightarrow P_K^G \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_K^\times) \rightarrow H^1(G, K^\times) \rightarrow \cdots 1$, \because Hilbert 90.
 $\Rightarrow \text{Coker}[\mathbb{Q}^\times \rightarrow P_K^G] = P_K^G/P_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_K^\times)$, $(\overline{\alpha}) \mapsto \frac{\overline{\alpha'}}{\alpha}$,
 $P_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Image}[\mathbb{Q}^\times \rightarrow P_K^G]$: the group of fractional ideals of \mathbb{Q} .
- I_K : the group of fractional ideals of K
 $\Rightarrow I_K \xrightarrow{N} \mathbb{Q}^\times \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times/(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^3$, its kernel = $P_{\mathbb{Q}}$
 $\Rightarrow I_K/P_{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times/(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^3$, $\overline{\mathbf{a}} \mapsto \overline{N\mathbf{a}}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_K^\times) & \cong & P_K^G/P_{\mathbb{Q}} & \subset & I_K^G/P_{\mathbb{Q}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}^\times/(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^3 \\
 \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
 \frac{\overline{\alpha'}}{\alpha} & \longleftarrow & \overline{(\alpha)} & & \overline{\mathbf{a}} & \mapsto & \overline{N\mathbf{a}}
 \end{array}$$

Proof for $[\mathcal{O}_{K_t} = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma] \Rightarrow \frac{\Delta_t}{\sqrt{d_{K_t}}} \in \mathbb{N}^3]$

Let $K = K_t$, take \mathfrak{C}_{K_t} satisfying $N\mathfrak{C}_{K_t} = \sqrt{d_{K_t}}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_{K_t}^\times) & \cong & P_{K_t}^G/P_{\mathbb{Q}} & \subset & I_{K_t}^G/P_{\mathbb{Q}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}^\times/(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^3, \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \bar{\theta} & \leftrightarrow & \overline{(\gamma - \gamma')} & = & \overline{\mathfrak{C}_{K_t}} & \mapsto & \overline{\sqrt{d_{K_t}}} \\
 \bar{\theta}_t & \leftrightarrow & \overline{(\theta_t - \theta'_t)} & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & & & \overline{\sqrt{d_{f_t}}} = \bar{\Delta}_t
 \end{array}$$

- Take a root θ_t of $f_t(x) = x^3 - tx^2 - (t+3)x - 1 = 0$.
- Assume $\mathcal{O}_{K_t} = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma] \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{K_t} = (\gamma - \gamma')$, $\theta := \frac{\gamma' - \gamma''}{\gamma - \gamma'} \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$
 $\Rightarrow [\theta] = [\theta_t] \in H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_{K_t}^\times)$ (strictly speaking, replace t if necessarily)

Key point: a replacement of a generator $\mathfrak{C}_{K_t} = (\alpha) = (\alpha\epsilon)$

does not change its class $\frac{\overline{\alpha'}}{\alpha} = \frac{\overline{\alpha'\epsilon'}}{\alpha\epsilon} \in H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_{K_t}^\times) = \frac{\{u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times \mid N(u)=1\}}{\{u' \mid u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \Delta_t \equiv \sqrt{d_{K_t}} \pmod{(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^3}.$$

- Take a root θ_t of $f_t(x) = x^3 - tx^2 - (t+3)x - 1 = 0$.
- Assume $\mathcal{O}_{K_t} = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma] \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{K_t} = (\gamma - \gamma'), \theta := \frac{\gamma' - \gamma''}{\gamma - \gamma'} \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$
 $\Rightarrow [\theta] = [\theta_t] \in H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_{K_t}^\times)$ (strictly speaking, replace t if necessarily)

Key point is: a replacement of a generator $\mathfrak{C}_{K_t} = (\alpha) = (\alpha\epsilon)$

does not change its class $\frac{\overline{\alpha'}}{\alpha} = \frac{\overline{\alpha'\epsilon'}}{\alpha\epsilon} \in H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_{K_t}^\times) = \frac{\{u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times \mid N(u)=1\}}{\{u'/u \mid u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}}$

Lemma

Let K be a cyclic cubic field, assume $\mathfrak{C}_K = (\beta)$ is principle, put $u_\beta := \frac{\beta'}{\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$. The following statements are equivalent.

- 1 $\exists \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ s.t. $\mathfrak{C}_K = (\alpha)$, $Tr(\alpha) = 0$.
- 2 $\exists u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ s.t. $1 + u + uu' = 0$, $\overline{u_\beta} = \overline{u} \in H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_K^\times)$.
- 3 $\exists t$ s.t. $K = K_t$, $\overline{u_\beta} = \overline{\theta_t} \in H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_K^\times)$.

Lemma

Let K be a cyclic cubic field, assume $\mathfrak{C}_K = (\beta)$ is principle, put $u_\beta := \frac{\beta'}{\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$. The following statements are equivalent.

- 1 $\exists \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ s.t. $\mathfrak{C}_K = (\alpha)$, $Tr(\alpha) = 0$.
- 2 $\exists u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ s.t. $1 + u + uu' = 0$, $\overline{u_\beta} = \overline{u} \in H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_K^\times)$.
- 3 $\exists t$ s.t. $K = K_t$, $\overline{u_\beta} = \overline{\theta_t} \in H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_K^\times)$.

Proof.

[(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)]. Write $\alpha := \beta\epsilon$ ($\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$). Then we have

$$Tr(\alpha) = \beta\epsilon + (\beta\epsilon)' + (\beta\epsilon)'' = \beta\epsilon \left(1 + u_\beta \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} + u_\beta \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} \left(u_\beta \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} \right)' \right).$$

In particular, $Tr(\alpha) = 0 \Leftrightarrow u := u_\beta \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} \in \overline{u_\beta}$ satisfying $1 + u + uu' = 0$. [(ii) \Leftarrow (iii)] follows from $1 + \theta_t + \theta_t \theta_t' = 0$. [(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)] u is a root of

$$(x - u)(x - u')(x - u'') = x^3 - Tr(u)x^2 + Tr(uu')x - 1 = f_t(x)$$

for $t = Tr(u)$. That is, u is one of $\theta_t, \theta_t' = \theta_t \frac{\theta_t'}{\theta_t}, \theta_t'' = \theta_t \frac{(\theta_t' \theta_t)'}{\theta_t' \theta_t} \in \overline{\theta_t}$. □

Proof for $[\mathcal{O}_{K_t} = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma] \Leftarrow \frac{\Delta_t}{\sqrt{d_{K_t}}} \in \mathbb{N}^3]$

We explicitly show that

$$\gamma := \frac{\theta_t - a}{\sqrt[3]{\frac{\Delta_t}{\sqrt{d_{K_t}}}}} \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } a \equiv \frac{t}{3} \pmod{\sqrt[3]{\frac{\Delta_t}{\sqrt{d_{K_t}}}}}$$

is a generator of PIB.

Relation to Gras' characterization

Theorem

Let K be cyclic cubic fields. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1 K is monogenic.
- 2 There exists a unit $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ satisfying that

$$N(\theta) = 1, \operatorname{Tr}(\theta + \theta^{-1}) = -3, \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\theta^2 - \theta^{-1})}{\sqrt{d_K}} \in \mathbb{N}^3.$$

$$N(\theta) = 1, \operatorname{Tr}(\theta + \theta^{-1}) = -3$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(\theta) + \operatorname{Tr}(\theta\theta') + 3 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (x - \theta)(x - \theta')(x - \theta'') = x^3 - \operatorname{Tr}(\theta)x^2 + \operatorname{Tr}(\theta\theta')x - 1 = f_t(x) \text{ with } t = \operatorname{Tr}(\theta), \text{ i.e., } K = K_t.$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(\theta^2 - \theta^{-1}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\theta)^2 - 3\operatorname{Tr}(\theta\theta') = t^2 + 3t + 9 = \Delta_t.$$

- A monogenic cyclic cubic field is a simplest cubic field K_t .
- K_t is monogenic $\Leftrightarrow \frac{\Delta_t}{\sqrt{d_K}} \in \mathbb{N}^3$

$$\Leftrightarrow t \not\equiv 3, 21 \pmod{27}, v_p(\Delta_t) \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3} \ (p \neq 3).$$

- I believe such arguments can be generalized to other situations.
e.g., Next talk by Sekigawa.
- I am interested in other relations between the monogeneity and the unit group.
e.g., Sekigawa[‡] found that a kind of congruences of units imply non-monogeneity:
monogeneity \Leftrightarrow Diophantine equation(s)
 \Rightarrow a unit equation, in some cases
(equation in the form of $a_1u_1 + \cdots + a_ru_r = 0$ under $u_i \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$)
 \Rightarrow no solution because of certain congruence relations among units in several settings.

[‡]Relative power integral bases in certain ray class fields of an imaginary quadratic number field, preprint