

# Propagation of the Homogeneous Wave Front Sets for Schrödinger Equations

Shu Nakamura (University of Tokyo)

For  $a(x, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , we denote the Weyl quantization by:

$$a^w(x, D_x)\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \iint e^{i(x-y)\xi} a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) \varphi(y) dy d\xi$$

for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  is not in the homogeneous wave front set (HWF set) of  $u$  if there exists  $a(x, \xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  such that  $a(x_0, \xi_0) \neq 0$  and

$$\|a^w(hx, hD_x)u\| \leq C_N h^N \quad (h \rightarrow 0)$$

for any  $N$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the  $L^2$ -norm. We denote  $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin HWF(u)$ . The homogeneous wave front set  $HWF(u)$  is defined as the complement in  $\mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Remark.* (1)  $HWF(u)$  is a conic set in  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ .

(2) The usual wave front set is defined as follows:  $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF(u)$  if there exists  $a(x, \xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  such that  $a(x_0, \xi_0) \neq 0$  and

$$\|a^w(x, hD_x)u\| \leq C_N h^N \quad (h \rightarrow 0)$$

for any  $N$ . Thus  $HWF(u)$  is analogous to  $WF(u)$  but homogeneous in  $(x, \xi)$ .

We consider Schrödinger equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) = -iHu(t, x), \quad (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

for  $u(t, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where

$$H = H_0 + V(x), \quad H_0 = \sum_{j,k=1}^d D_j a_{jk}(x) D_k, \quad D_j = -i\partial/\partial x_j.$$

**Assumption A.**  $a_{jk}(x)$  and  $V(x)$  are smooth, and  $(a_{jk}(x))$  is positive definite for each  $x$ . Moreover, there exist  $\mu > 0$  and  $\nu < 2$  such that for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\alpha (a_{jk}(x) - \delta_{jk})| &\leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-\mu-|\alpha|}, \\ |\partial_x V(x)| &\leq C'_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{\nu-|\alpha|} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d). \end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$h_0(x, \xi) = \sum_{j,k=1}^d a_{jk}(x) \xi_j \xi_k$$

be the symbol of  $H_0$ . For  $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , we write the solution to the Hamilton equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt}y(t) = \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial \xi}(y(t), \eta(t)), \quad \frac{d}{dt}\eta(t) = -\frac{\partial h_0}{\partial x}(y(t), \eta(t))$$

with the initial condition  $y(0) = x, \eta(0) = y$  by  $y(t, x, \xi)$  and  $\eta(t, x, \xi)$ .

We say  $(x, \xi)$  is *forward nontrapping* with respect to the evolution if  $|y(t, x, \xi)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then it is well-known that there exists an asymptotic momentum:

$$\xi_+ = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \eta(t, x, \xi).$$

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  is forward nontrapping, and let  $\xi_+$  be the asymptotic momentum. Let  $t_0 > 0$  and let  $u(t) = e^{-itH}u(0)$ . If  $(t_0\xi_+, \xi_+) \notin HWF(u(t_0))$ , then  $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF(u(0))$ . In other words, if  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF(u(0))$ , then  $(t_0\xi_+, \xi_+) \in HWF(u(t_0))$ .*

*Remark.* This result is similar to results by Craig-Kappeler-Strauss [CKS]. Also, it is related to works by Doi [Doi], Wunsch, Robbiano-Zuilly (analytic singularity).

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  is forward nontrapping, and let  $\xi_+$  be the asymptotic momentum. Let  $s > 0$ . If  $u(t)$  decays rapidly in a conic neighborhood of  $\xi_+$  for some  $t > 0$ , then  $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF(u(0))$ .*

*Idea of Proof.* We construct an operator  $F(t)$  with a symbol:  $\varphi(h; t, x, \xi)$  so that

- (i)  $\varphi(h; t, \cdot, \cdot) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  for each  $t \geq 0, h > 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\varphi(h; 0, x, \xi) = f(x, h\xi)$  with some  $f \in C_0^\infty$  such that  $f(x_0, \xi_0) > 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\varphi(h; t_0, \cdot, \cdot)$  is supported in a small conic neighborhood of  $(t_0\xi_+, \xi_+)$ ;
- (iv) The Heisenberg derivative of  $F(t)$  satisfies:

$$\delta F(t) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}F(t) + i[H, F(t)] \geq -C_N h^N$$

for any  $N$  in the operator sense.

If we can find such  $F(t) = \varphi^w(h; t, x, D_x)$ , then Theorem 8 is proved as follows: Suppose  $(t_0\xi_+, \xi_+) \notin HWF(u(t_0))$ . Then by (4), we have

$$\|F(t_0)u(t_0)\| = O(h^N) \quad (\forall N).$$

(In fact, we construct  $F(t)$  so that this holds.) By (5), we learn

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle u(t), F(t)u(t) \rangle = \langle u(t), \delta F(t)u(t) \rangle \geq -C_N h^N, \quad (0 \leq t \leq t_0)$$

with any  $N$ . Combining them, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u(0), F(0)u(0) \rangle &= \langle u(t_0), F(t_0)u(t_0) \rangle - \int_0^{t_0} \langle u(t), \delta F(t)u(t) \rangle dt \\ &= O(h^N) + C_N h^N t_0 = O(h^N) \quad (\forall N). \end{aligned}$$

By (ii),  $F(0) = f^w(x, hD_x)$  with  $f(x_0, \xi_0) \neq 0$ , and this implies  $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF(u(0))$ .  $\square$

**Construction of the Symbol  $\varphi(h; t, x, \xi)$ :**

We construct  $\psi(t, x, \xi)$  so that the Lagrange derivative:

$$\frac{D}{Dt} \psi(t, x, \xi) := \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi} \geq 0;$$

$\psi(0, x_0, \xi_0) > 0$ ; and supported in a small neighborhood of  $(y(t, x_0, \xi_0), \eta(t, x_0, \xi_0))$ .

Then we set

$$\varphi_0(h; t, x, \xi) = \psi(h^{-1}t, x, h\xi).$$

For  $k \geq 1$ , we set

$$\varphi_k(h; t, x, \xi) = h^{\varepsilon(k-1)} t C_k \psi(h^{-1}t, x/\lambda_k, h\xi/\lambda_k)$$

where  $\varepsilon = 2 - \nu > 0$ ,  $1 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < 2$ , and  $C_k$  are suitable constants. We define

$$\varphi(h; t, x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j(h; t, x, \xi)$$

in the sense of an asymptotic sum with respect to  $h$ , and set

$$F(t) = \varphi^w(h; t, x, D_x).$$

Then we can show  $F(t)$  satisfies the required properties (i)–(iv).  $\square$

**References:** [CKS] Craig, W., Kappeler, T., Strauss, W.: Microlocal dissipative smoothing for the Schrödinger equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **48**, 769–860 (1996).

[Doi] Doi, S: Smoothing effects for Schrödinger evolution equation and global behavior of geodesic flow. *Math. Ann.* **318**, 355–389 (2000).