

Sobolev Continuity of a Certain Class of Operators in a Slab Domain in \mathbb{R}^2

Hideo Ueda

Dept. of Math. Osaka University

February 26, 2005

In this talk, we consider a Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian in a domain with a corner of conical type in \mathbb{R}^2 . If that domain is a wedge, then some solutions of that problem are constructed by Mellin transformation concretely, see [5].

We deal with that formula of solutions from the point of view of symbolic calculus. We map that domain into $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ by an appropriate coordinate transformation and introduce a new symbol class and corresponding class of operators. We can construct a global parametrix of that problem in $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ for Sobolev class by means of the symbolic calculus of that class in the form of Theorem 6.

We denote $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, $H^s = H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let K be a domain in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times K)$ $\partial_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)} f$ denotes $\partial_x^{\alpha_1} \partial_\xi^{\alpha_2} \partial_{\mu_0}^{\beta_1} \partial_{\mu_1}^{\beta_2} f(x, \xi, \mu_0, \mu_1)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$. We set $K_1 = \{(\mu_0, \mu_1); 0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_0 \leq 1\}$ and $K_{-1} = \{(\mu_0, \mu_1); 0 \leq \mu_0 \leq \mu_1 \leq 1\}$. For $\mu \in [0, 1]$ we set $I_1^{(\mu)} = [0, \mu]$ and $I_{-1}^{(\mu)} = [\mu, 1]$.

Definition 1 (Ueda [3]) Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda > 0$, $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$, $\delta < 1$ and $i = \pm 1$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\rho, \delta, i}^{m, \lambda}$ the set of all $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times K_i)$ such that for every $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ and $0 < \nu < \lambda$

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times K_i} \left| \partial_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)} a(x, \xi, \mu_0, \mu_1) \right| \langle \xi \rangle^{-m + \rho\alpha_2 - \delta\alpha_1 - |\beta|} \exp(\nu |\mu_1 - \mu_0| \langle \xi \rangle)$$

is finite.

The next is a symbol class including the symbol class in Definition 1.

Definition 2 (Ueda [4]) Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$, $\delta < 1$ and $i = \pm 1$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\rho, \delta, i}^m$ the set of all $c \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times K_i)$ such that for every $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times K_i} \left| \partial_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)} c(x, \xi, \mu_0, \mu_1) \right| \langle \xi \rangle^{-m + \rho\alpha_2 - \delta\alpha_1 - |\beta|}, \\ & \sup_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1]} \int_{I_i^{(\mu_0)}} \left| \partial_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)} c(x, \xi, \mu_0, \mu_1) \right| d\mu_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{-m + 1 + \rho\alpha_2 - \delta\alpha_1 - |\beta|}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1]} \int_{I_{-i}^{(\mu_1)}} \left| \partial_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)} c(x, \xi, \mu_0, \mu_1) \right| d\mu_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{-m+1+\rho\alpha_2-\delta\alpha_1-|\beta|}$$

are finite respectively.

An analogous result holds over $\mathcal{C}_{\rho,\delta,i}^\infty$ to Hörmander's theorem about the asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{S}_{\rho,\delta}^\infty$.

Definition 3 A linear operator C on $C^0([0,1];\mathcal{S})$ is said to belong to the class $\text{Op } \mathcal{C}_{\rho,\delta}^m$, if there exists $c_i \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho,\delta,i}^m$, $i = \pm 1$, such that

$$Cw(\cdot, \mu_0) = \sum_{i=\pm 1} \int_{I_i^{(\mu_0)}} c_i(X, D_x, \mu_0, \mu_1) w(\cdot, \mu_1) d\mu_1. \quad (1)$$

We shall write $C = \text{OP}(c_1, c_{-1})$ for an operator $C \in \text{Op } \mathcal{C}_{\rho,\delta}^m$ given in the form (1).

The following are our main results.

Theorem 4 The class $\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{R}} \text{Op } \mathcal{C}_{\rho,\delta}^m$ is an algebra in the following sense:

- (i) If $C_j \in \text{Op } \mathcal{C}_{\rho,\delta}^{m_j}$, $j = 1, 2$, then we have $C_1 C_2 \in \text{Op } \mathcal{C}_{\rho,\delta}^{m_1+m_2-1}$.
- (ii) If $C \in \text{Op } \mathcal{C}_{\rho,\delta}^m$, then we have $C^* \in \text{Op } \mathcal{C}_{\rho,\delta}^m$.

The next is obtained by using Theorem 4 and multiple symbols of pseudo-differential operators studied in [1].

Theorem 5 Every operator in $\text{Op } \mathcal{C}_{\rho,\delta}^m$ is continuous from $H^l((0,1); H^s)$ to $H^\gamma((0,1); H^{s-m+1-\gamma})$ for all $m, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\gamma = 0, \dots, l+1$.

Let L be a uniformly elliptic differential operator of second order with complex valued \mathcal{B}^∞ coefficients in $\mathbb{R} \times [0,1]$ which have proper ellipticity at some point in $\mathbb{R} \times [0,1]$ and $\partial_{\mu_0}^2 + 2a_1(x, \mu_0)\partial_x\partial_{\mu_0} + a_2(x, \mu_0)\partial_x^2$ be the principal part of L .

We set $\lambda = \sqrt{a_2 - a_1^2}$, $\sigma = 2^{-1/2} \inf_{\mathbb{R} \times [0,1]} (\text{Re } \lambda - |\text{Im } a_1|)$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} t_1(x, \xi, \mu_0, \mu_1) &= \exp(\sqrt{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0)a_1(x, \mu_0)\xi) \sinh(\mu_1\lambda(x, \mu_0)\xi) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\sinh((\mu_0 - 1)\lambda(x, \mu_0)\xi)}{\sinh(\lambda(x, \mu_0)\xi)} (\lambda(x, \mu_0)\xi)^{-1}, \\ t_{-1}(x, \xi, \mu_0, \mu_1) &= \exp(\sqrt{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0)a_1(x, \mu_0)\xi) \sinh((\mu_1 - 1)\lambda(x, \mu_0)\xi) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\sinh(\mu_0\lambda(x, \mu_0)\xi)}{\sinh(\lambda(x, \mu_0)\xi)} (\lambda(x, \mu_0)\xi)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We have that σ is positive and $t_i \in \mathcal{A}_{1,0,i}^{-1,\sigma}$, $i = \pm 1$, see [3]. We put $T = \text{OP}(t_1, t_{-1})$.

Then we obtain

Theorem 6 *One can find $F_j \in \text{Op } \mathcal{C}_{1,0}^0$, $j = 1, 2$, which satisfies the following:*

(i) *If $w \in L^2((0, 1); H^s)$, then we have*

$$(LT(I + F_1) - I)w = K_1w$$

where $K_1 \in \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{R}} \text{Op } \mathcal{C}_{1,0}^m$.

(ii) *If $w \in H^2((0, 1); H^s)$ with $w(\cdot, 0) = w(\cdot, 1) = 0$, then we have*

$$((I + F_2)TL - I)w = K_2w$$

where $K_2 \in \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{R}} \text{Op } \mathcal{C}_{1,0}^m$.

(iii) *If $w \in L^2((0, 1); H^s)$, $w_0, w_1 \in H^{r+3/2}$ and $f \in L^2((0, 1); H^r)$ satisfy that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (w(\cdot, \mu_0), L^* \varphi(\cdot, \mu_0)) d\mu_0 \\ &= \int_0^1 (f(\cdot, \mu_0), \varphi(\cdot, \mu_0)) d\mu_0 + \sum_{j=0}^1 (-1)^{j+1} (w_j, a_2(\cdot, j) \partial_{\mu_0} \varphi(\cdot, j)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in C^2([0, 1]; \mathcal{S})$ with $\varphi(\cdot, 0) = \varphi(\cdot, 1) = 0$, then we have $\partial_x^\beta \partial_{\mu_0}^\gamma w \in L^2((0, 1); H^{r+2-\beta-\gamma})$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\gamma = 0, 1, 2$, and $w(\cdot, j) = w_j$, $j = 0, 1$.

(iii) of Theorem 6 is proved by using only Theorem 5, (i) and (ii) of Theorem 6 and Riesz's Theorem.

References

- [1] H. Kumano-go, *Pseudo-differential operators of multiple symbol and the Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **27** (1975), 113–120.
- [2] B.-W. Schulze, *Pseudo-Differential Operators on Manifolds with Singularities*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991.
- [3] H. Ueda, *On an algebra of a certain class of operators in a slab domain in \mathbf{R}^2* , Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom., **16** (1998), 477–495.
- [4] H. Ueda, *Sobolev Continuity of a Certain Class of Operators in a Slab Domain in \mathbf{R}^2* , Preprint, Osaka University, 2004.
- [5] A. H. Zemanian, *Generalized Integral Transformations*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1968.