## Global boundedness in a degenerate tumor invasion system with chemotaxis effect

We consider the following initial-boundary value problem of chemotaxis model:

$$\begin{cases} u_{t} = \nabla \cdot (D(u)\nabla u - S(u)\nabla v), & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ v_{t} = \Delta v + wz, & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ w_{t} = -wz, & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ z_{t} = \Delta z - z + u, & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ (D(u)\nabla u - S(u)\nabla v) \cdot \nu = \nabla v \cdot \nu = \nabla z \cdot \nu = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \ t > 0, \\ (u, v, w, z)(x, 0) = (u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}, z_{0})(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . Here  $D \in C^{1+\theta}([0,\infty))$ (with some  $\theta \in (0,1)$ ),  $S \in C([0,\infty)$ ) are supposed to be nonnegative function, and the initial data satisfies

(2) 
$$(u_0, v_0, w_0, z_0) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \times W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \times W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \times W^{1,\infty}(\Omega), \ u_0, v_0, w_0, z_0 \ge 0$$

The model (1) was proposed as a modified tumor invasion model with chemotaxis effect ([2]).

**Known results.** As know results, global-in-time boundedness  $(\exists C \text{ s.t. } \forall t > 0; \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C)$  was proved in each of the following cases:

$$\begin{split} [\mathbf{2, 3}]: \ D(u) &= 1, \ S(u) = u, \ N \leq 3, \\ [\mathbf{1, 5}]: \ D(u) \geq u^{m-1} \ (m > 1), \ S(u) = u, \ N \leq 3, \\ [\mathbf{7}]: \ D(u) &= 1, \ S(u) = u, \ N = 4 \ \text{under small initial data}, \\ [\mathbf{8}]: \ D(u) \geq (u+1)^{m-1} \ (m \in \mathbb{R}), \ 0 \leq S(u) \leq (u+1)^{\alpha} \ (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}) \ \text{with the condition} \\ \alpha+1 \leq \begin{cases} m+1+\frac{1}{N} & (1 \leq N \leq 3), \\ m+\frac{4}{N} & (N \geq 4). \end{cases} \end{split}$$

The proof in previous studies utilizes the uniform boundedness of  $\|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$   $(1 , which is obtained from the <math>L^1$ -conservation law of u and  $L^p - L^q$  estimates in the case of  $N \leq 3$ . On the other hand, in the case of  $N \geq 4$ , the combined energy of  $u, \nabla v, \nabla z$  is used. There is a gap in the conditions for  $m, \alpha$  due to the difference in these methods (see [8]). Also, as noted in [8, Remark 1.2], nothing is known when  $\alpha + 1 \geq m + \frac{4}{N}$ . Therefore, in the present study, we will consider the existence and boundedness of global weak solutions under the condition that  $\alpha + 1 = m + \frac{4}{N}$  holds.

**Definition.** A quadruple (u, v, w, z) of non-negative functions defined on  $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$  is called a global weak solution of (1) if for any T > 0,

- $u \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\infty}(\Omega)), \ \int_{0}^{u} D(\sigma) \, d\sigma \in L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}(\Omega)), \ S(u) \in L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega)),$
- $v \in L^{\infty}(0,T;W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)),$

(1)

<sup>\*〒 263-8522</sup> 千葉市稲毛区弥生町 1-33, e-mail: ishida@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>S. Ishida is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientists Research (No. 21K13815).

- $w, z \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{\infty}(\Omega)) \cap L^{2}(0, T; H^{1}(\Omega)),$
- (u, v, w, z) fulfills (1) in the distributional sense.

Theorem ([6]) –

Suppose that  $(u_0, v_0, w_0, z_0)$  satisfy (2) and D, S satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \exists m > 1 \text{ s.t. } \forall \sigma \geq 0; \ f(0) &= 0, \ f(\sigma) \geq \sigma_1^{m-1}, \\ \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } \forall \sigma \geq 0; \ \begin{cases} g(\sigma) \leq \sigma^{\alpha} \ (\text{if } \alpha \geq 0), \\ g(\sigma) \leq \sigma(\sigma+1)^{\alpha-1} \ (\text{if } \alpha < 0). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

1. If

$$\alpha + 1 = m + \frac{4}{N} \ (N \ge 3),$$

then there exists a constant  $\delta = \delta(m, \alpha, N) > 0$  such that if  $u_0$  has the additional property  $||u_0||_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \delta$  there exists a global weak solution (u, v, w, z) of (1) satisfying

(3) 
$$\begin{cases} u \in C_{w^{-*}}([0,\infty); L^{\infty}(\Omega)), \\ \|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq u_{\max} \ (\forall t \geq 0), \\ \|u(t)\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} = \|u_{0}\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \ (\forall t \geq 0), \\ u(t) \to \overline{u_{0}} \quad \text{weakly* in } L^{\infty}(\Omega) \ (t \to \infty), \end{cases}$$

where  $u_{\max} \ge 0$  is a constant which does not depend on t, and  $\overline{u_0} := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) dx$ .

2. If

$$\alpha + 1 < m + \frac{4}{N} \ (N \ge 2)$$

then there exists a global weak solution (u, v, w, z) of (1) which fullfils (3).

In the one-dimensional case, we showed to global boundedness under  $\alpha + 1 < m + 3$  ([4]).

## References

- K. Fujie, S. Ishida, A. Ito, T. Yokota, Large time behavior in a chemotaxis model with nonlinear general diffusion for tumor invasion, Funkcial. Ekvac. 61 (2018), 37–80.
- [2] K. Fujie, A. Ito, T. Yokota, Existence and uniqueness of local classical solutions to modified tumor invasion models of Chaplain-Anderson type, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. 24 (2014), 67–84.
- [3] K. Fujie, A. Ito, M. Winkler, T. Yokota, Stabilization in a chemotaxis model for tumor invasion, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 36 (2016), 151–169.
- [4] S. Ishida, Global boundedness in 1D tumor invasion system with chemotaxis effect, submitted.
- [5] S. Ishida, T. Yokota, Application of weak stabilization theory for degenerate parabolic equations in divergence form to a chemotaxis model for tumor invasion, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B 28 (2023), 5296-5306.
- S. Ishida, T. Yokota, Boundedness and weak stabilization in a degenerate chemotaxis model arising from tumor invasion, J. Differential Equations 371 (2023), 450–480.
- H.Y. Jin, T. Xiang, Boundedness and exponential convergence in a chemotaxis model for tumor invasion, Nonlinearity, 29, (2016), 3579–3596.
- [8] H.Y. Jin, Z. Liu, S. Shi, Global dynamics of a quasilinear chemotaxis model arising from tumor invasion, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 44 (2018), 18–39.