

NONLINEAR BOUNDARY STABILIZATION OF ISOTROPIC ELASTICITY SYSTEMS

Mohammed Aassila

(Received February 28, 1997; Revised January 7, 1998)

Abstract. We study the energy decay rate for the isotropic elasticity systems in a bounded domain under weak growth assumptions on the feedback function. This work improves some earlier results of Lagnese [9] and Komornik [5].

AMS 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 93D15, 35B40.

Key words and phrases. isotropic elasticity systems, nonlinear boundary stabilization.

1. Introduction

The problem of proving the energy decay rates for solutions of systems of evolution equations with dissipation at the boundary has been treated by several authors. Indeed, in the case of wave or plate equations we can mention Komornik [3], Komornik-Zuazua [7], Lagnese [8], Lasiecka [10], Lasiecka-Tataru [11], Lions [12], and Zuazua [13], among others.

Very little is known for the isotropic elasticity systems. To our knowledge, uniform decay estimates for two-dimensional homogeneous isotropic systems by applying either linear or nonlinear boundary feedbacks was studied by Lagnese [9], and quite recently Komornik [5] has obtained exponential decay for three dimensional case when the boundary dissipation is linear.

In this paper we consider the problem of nonlinear boundary stabilization for isotropic elasticity systems. More precisely, we consider the following problem

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} u'' - \mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, +\infty), \\ \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + (\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u) \nu + (m \cdot \nu) g(u') = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad \text{and } u'(0) = u_1 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded open domain in \mathbb{R}^n having a boundary Γ of class C^2 , $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ denotes the outward unit normal vector to Γ , λ and μ (the

Lamé constants in the physical interpretation of the model) are two positive constants, $\{\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ is a partition of the boundary Γ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \Gamma_0 \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Gamma}_0 \cap \bar{\Gamma}_1 = \emptyset,$$

$m(x) = x - x_0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with x_0 is a fixed point in \mathbb{R}^n , and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is such that

$$(1.2) \quad g \text{ is globally lipschitz continuous;}$$

$$(1.3) \quad g(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \cdot g(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n;$$

$$(1.4) \quad \text{there exists } a \geq 1 \text{ such that } |g(x)| |x| \leq ag(x) \cdot x \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

(the dot denotes the usual inner product in \mathbb{R}^n). The boundary velocity feedback denotes the surface traction $\mu\{(\nabla u) + (\nabla u)^T\} + \lambda(\operatorname{div} u)\nu$ (we refer to [2] for more explanations on the physical meaning of (P)).

Let us denote by $H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)$ the set of functions $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfying $v = 0$ on Γ_0 . Using the standard nonlinear semi-group theory, the problem (P) is well posed in the following sense: for every $(u_0, u_1) \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)^n \times L^2(\Omega)^n$ arbitrarily, there exists a unique mild solution

$$(1.5) \quad u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, H^1(\Omega)^n) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2(\Omega)^n).$$

Moreover, if $(u_0, u_1) \in (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega))^n \times H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)^n$ and if the compatibility condition

$$(1.6) \quad \mu \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu} + (\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u_0)\nu + (m \cdot \nu)g(u_1) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1$$

holds, then we have the following regularity property

$$(1.7) \quad u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, H^2(\Omega)^n) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, H^1(\Omega)^n) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2(\Omega)^n)$$

we say in this case that u is a strong solution. In particular we have $\sup_{0 < t < \infty} \|\nabla u'(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)^n} < +\infty$.

Let us define the energy $E : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ of the solutions by the formula

$$(1.8) \quad E(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u'|^2 + \mu |\nabla u|^2 + (\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u)^2 dx.$$

Assume that

$$(1.9) \quad m \cdot \nu \leq 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad m \cdot \nu \geq 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1$$

then the energy $E : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a non-increasing function. Indeed, if u is a strong solution, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &= \int_{\Omega} u' \cdot u'' + \mu \nabla u \cdot \nabla u' + (\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u)(\operatorname{div} u') \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mu(\nabla u' \cdot \nabla u + u' \cdot \Delta u) + (\lambda + \mu)((\operatorname{div} u)(\operatorname{div} u') + u' \cdot \nabla(\operatorname{div} u)) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_1} u' \cdot \left(\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + (\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u) \nu \right) d\Gamma \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$(1.10) \quad E'(t) = - \int_{\Gamma_1} (m \cdot \nu) u' \cdot g(u') \, d\Gamma \leq 0,$$

hence

$$(1.11) \quad E(S) - E(T) = \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} (m \cdot \nu) u' \cdot g(u') \, d\Gamma dt$$

for all $0 \leq S < T < +\infty$.

The inequality (1.10) remains valid for all mild solutions by an easy density argument.

In [9] and [5], Lagnese and Komornik, respectively, have studied the energy decay rate when g is such that

$$(1.12) \quad c_1 |x|^p \leq |g(x)| \leq c_2 |x|^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{if } |x| \leq 1$$

$$(1.13) \quad c_3 |x| \leq |g(x)| \leq c_4 |x| \quad \text{if } |x| > 1$$

c_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) are four positive constants and $p \geq 1$.

These works have a serious drawback: they never apply for bounded functions g (because of $c_3 > 0$ in (1.13)). The purpose of this paper is to obtain a variant of Lagnese and Komornik's results for bounded feedback functions. The case of scalar wave equation was treated by Komornik in [3].

Our main result is the following

Main theorem. *In addition to (1.1)-(1.4) and (1.9), assume that g satisfies*

$$(1.14) \quad c_1 |x|^p \leq |g(x)| \leq c_2 |x|^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{if } |x| \leq 1$$

$$(1.15) \quad c_3 \leq |g(x)| \leq c_4 |x| \quad \text{if } |x| > 1$$

where c_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) are four positive constants and p is such that

$$(1.16) \quad p = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad n = 1,$$

$$(1.17) \quad p > 1 \quad \text{if} \quad n = 2,$$

$$(1.18) \quad p \geq n - 1 \quad \text{if} \quad n \geq 3.$$

Then for every

$$(1.19) \quad (u_0, u_1) \in (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega))^n \times H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)^n$$

satisfying

$$(1.20) \quad \mu \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu} + (\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u_0)\nu + (m \cdot \nu)g(u_1) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_1,$$

the solution of (P) satisfies the estimates

$$(1.21) \quad E(t) \leq ce^{-\omega t} \quad \forall t > 0 \quad (\omega > 0), \quad \text{if} \quad n = 1$$

$$(1.22) \quad E(t) \leq ct^{\frac{2}{1-p}} \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \text{if} \quad n \geq 2$$

with a constant c depending on the initial data (u_0, u_1) .

The proof of the theorem will be based on an integral inequality proved in Komornik [4]

Lemma 1.1. (Th. 9.1 [3]) *Let $E : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a decreasing function, and assume that there exists a nonnegative number α and a positive number A such that*

$$(1.23) \quad \int_t^{+\infty} E^{\alpha+1}(s) ds \leq AE(t) \quad \text{for all} \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then putting

$$(1.24) \quad T := AE(0)^{-\alpha},$$

we have

$$(1.25) \quad E(t) \leq E(0) \left(\frac{T + \alpha T}{T + \alpha t} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad \text{for all} \quad t \geq T$$

if $\alpha > 0$ and

$$(1.26) \quad E(t) \leq E(0)e^{1-\frac{t}{T}} \quad \text{for all} \quad t \geq T$$

if $\alpha = 0$.

2. Proof of the main theorem

From now on we denote by c various positive constants which may be different at different occurrences. Putting for brevity $Mu := (\nabla u)2m + (n-1)u$, we have for any fixed $0 \leq S < T < +\infty$

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$2 \int_S^T E^{\frac{p+1}{2}}(t) dt \leq cE(S) + c \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma_1} (m \cdot \nu) \{|u'|^2 + |g(u')|^2\} d\Gamma dt.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} (Mu) \cdot (u'' - \mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} u) dx dt \\ &= \left[E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} u' \cdot Mu dx \right]_S^T - \frac{p-1}{2} \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-3}{2}} E' \int_{\Omega} u' \cdot Mu dx dt \\ &\quad - \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} u' \cdot Mu' + \mu (Mu) \cdot (\Delta u) + (\lambda + \mu) (Mu) \cdot (\operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} u) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the energy and its non-increasigness, it follows easily that

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} (Mu) \cdot u' dx \right| \leq cE(S),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \left| E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} u' \cdot Mu dx \right| \leq cE^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \leq cE(S),$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \left| E^{\frac{p-3}{2}} E' \int_{\Omega} u' \cdot Mu dx \right| \leq -cE^{\frac{p-1}{2}} E' \leq -c(E^{\frac{p+1}{2}})'.$$

Multiplying the first equation in (P) with $E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 2m \cdot \nabla u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} (2m_k \partial_k u_i) (u_i'' - \mu \partial_j^2 u_i - (\lambda + \mu) \partial_i \partial_j u_j) dx dt \\ &= \left[E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} (2m_k \partial_k u_i) u_i' dx \right]_S^T - \frac{p-1}{2} \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-3}{2}} E' \int_{\Omega} 2m_k u_i' \partial_k u_i dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} -m_k \partial_k (u_i')^2 + \mu m_k \partial_k (\partial_j u_i)^2 + 2\mu (\partial_j m_k) (\partial_k u_i) (\partial_j u_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +(\lambda + \mu)m_k \partial_k (\partial_j u_j)^2 + 2(\lambda + \mu)(\partial_i m_k)(\partial_k u_i)(\partial_j u_j) dxdt \\
& + \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma} -2\mu\nu_j m_k (\partial_k u_i)(\partial_j u_i) - 2(\lambda + \mu)\nu_i m_k (\partial_k u_i)(\partial_j u_i) d\Gamma dt \\
& = \left[E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} (2m_k \partial_k u_i) u'_i dx \right]_S^T - \frac{p-1}{2} \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-3}{2}} E' \int_{\Omega} 2m_k u'_i \partial_k u_i dxdt \\
& \quad + \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} (\partial_k m_k) \left((u'_i)^2 - \mu(\partial_j u_i)^2 - (\lambda + \mu)(\partial_j u_j)^2 \right) \\
& \quad + 2\mu(\partial_j m_k)(\partial_k u_i)(\partial_j u_i) + 2(\lambda + \mu)(\partial_i m_k)(\partial_k u_i)(\partial_j u_j) dxdt \\
& \quad + \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma} -2\mu\nu_j m_k (\partial_k u_i)(\partial_j u_i) - 2(\lambda + \mu)\nu_i m_k (\partial_k u_i)(\partial_j u_j) \\
& \quad + (m_k \nu_k) \left(-(u'_i)^2 + \mu(\partial_j u_i)^2 + (\lambda + \mu)(\partial_j u_j)^2 \right) d\Gamma dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial_k m_k = n$, $\partial_i m_k = \delta_{ik}$, $(u'_i)^2 = |u'|^2$, $(\partial_j u_i)^2 = |\nabla u|^2$, $\partial_j u_j = \operatorname{div} u$ and $m_k \nu_k = m \cdot \nu$, we can rewrite this identity in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} n|u'|^2 + (2-n)\mu|\nabla u|^2 + (2-n)(\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u)^2 dxdt \\
& = \left[E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} (2m \cdot \nabla u) \cdot u' dx \right]_S^T - \frac{p-1}{2} \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-3}{2}} E' \int_{\Omega} 2m_k u'_i \partial_k u_i dxdt \\
& \quad + \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma} (m \cdot \nu) \left(|u'|^2 - \mu|\nabla u|^2 - (\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u)^2 \right) \\
& \quad + (2m_k \partial_k u_i)(\mu \partial_\nu u_i + (\lambda + \mu)\nu_i \operatorname{div} u) d\Gamma dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we multiply the first equation in (P) with $E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} u$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & = \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} u_i (u''_i - \mu \partial_j^2 u_i - (\lambda + \mu) \partial_i \partial_j u_j) dxdt \\
& = \left[E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} u_i u'_i dx \right]_S^T - \frac{p-1}{2} \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-3}{2}} E' \int_{\Omega} u' \cdot u dxdt \\
& \quad + \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} -(u'_i)^2 + \mu(\partial_j u_i)^2 + (\lambda + \mu)(\partial_i u_i)^2 dxdt \\
& \quad + \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma} -\mu u_i \partial_\nu u_i - (\lambda + \mu)(\nu_i u_i) \partial_j u_j d\Gamma dt,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} -|u'|^2 + \mu|\nabla u|^2 + (\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u)^2 dx dt \\ &= \left[E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} u \cdot u' dx \right]_T^S - \frac{p-1}{2} \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-3}{2}} E' \int_{\Omega} u' \cdot u dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma} u_i (\mu \partial_{\nu} u_i + (\lambda + \mu) \nu_i \operatorname{div} u) d\Gamma dt. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying this by $(n-1)$ and adding to the preceding identity, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} |u'|^2 + \mu|\nabla u|^2 + (\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u)^2 dx dt \\ &= \left[E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} u' \cdot Mu dx \right]_T^S - \frac{p-1}{2} \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-3}{2}} E' \int_{\Omega} u' \cdot Mu dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma} (m \cdot \nu) (|u'|^2 - \mu|\nabla u|^2 - (\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u)^2) \\ & \quad \quad + (Mu_i) (\mu \partial_{\nu} u_i + (\lambda + \mu) \nu_i \operatorname{div} u) d\Gamma dt. \end{aligned}$$

On Γ_0 , we have $u = 0$, whence $u' = 0$, $Mu_i = 2m \cdot \nabla u_i = 2(m \cdot \nu) \partial_{\nu} u_i$ and $\operatorname{div} u = (\partial_{\nu} u) \cdot \nu$. Hence the integral on Γ_0 is equal to

$$(2.4) \quad (m \cdot \nu) \left(\mu \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 + (\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u)^2 \right).$$

Furthermore on Γ_1 , we have

$$(2.5) \quad \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + (\lambda + \mu) \nu \operatorname{div} u = -(m \cdot \nu) g(u').$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} |u'|^2 + \mu|\nabla u|^2 + (\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u)^2 dx dt \\ &= \left[E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} u' \cdot Mu dx \right]_T^S - \frac{p-1}{2} \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-3}{2}} E' \int_{\Omega} u' \cdot Mu dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma_0} (m \cdot \nu) \left(\mu|\nabla u|^2 + (\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u)^2 \right) d\Gamma dt \\ & \quad + \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma_1} (m \cdot \nu) \left(|u'|^2 - \mu|\nabla u|^2 - (\lambda + \mu)(\operatorname{div} u)^2 \right) - (Mu) (m \cdot \nu) g(u') d\Gamma dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $(m \cdot \nu) \leq 0$ on Γ_0 , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_S^T E^{\frac{p+1}{2}}(t) dt &\leq \left[E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} u' \cdot Mu dx \right]_T^S - \frac{p-1}{2} \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-3}{2}} E' \int_{\Omega} u' \cdot Mu dx dt \\ &+ \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma_1} (m \cdot \nu) \{ |u'|^2 - \mu |\nabla u|^2 - (\lambda + \mu) (\operatorname{div} u)^2 \} - (m \cdot \nu) (Mu) \cdot g(u') d\Gamma dt. \end{aligned}$$

As we have

$$\begin{aligned} -(Mu) \cdot g(u') &\leq 2|m_k \partial_k u| |g(u')| - (n-1)u \cdot g(u') \\ &\leq 2R|\nabla u| |g(u')| + |1-n|u \cdot g(u') \quad \text{where } R := \sup_{x \in \Omega} |m(x)| \\ &\leq \mu |\nabla u|^2 + c_\varepsilon |g(u')|^2 + \varepsilon |u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Since

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^2 d\Gamma \leq c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq 2cE(t),$$

we get

$$\int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma_1} (m \cdot \nu) |u|^2 d\Gamma dt \leq c \int_S^T E^{\frac{p+1}{2}}(t) dt.$$

Hence, we conclude from (2.1)-(2.3), by taking ε small enough, that

$$(2.6) \quad 2 \int_S^T E^{\frac{p+1}{2}}(t) dt \leq cE(S) + c \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma_1} (m \cdot \nu) (|u'|^2 + |g(u')|^2) d\Gamma dt.$$

Proof of the main theorem completed. First, we assume that $n = 1$, then by (1.10), (1.14), (1.15) and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S^T E(t) dt &\leq cE(S) + c \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} (m \cdot \nu) |u|^2 d\Gamma dt \\ &\leq cE(S) + c \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1, |u'| \geq 1} (m \cdot \nu) |u'| (u' \cdot g(u')) d\Gamma dt + c \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1, |u'| \leq 1} (m \cdot \nu) u' \cdot g(u') d\Gamma dt \\ &\leq cE(S) + c(\|u'\|_\infty + 1) \int_S^T (-E'(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the trace theorem $(H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \subset) H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Gamma)$ we have

$$\int_S^T E(t) dt \leq cE(S) + c(\|u'\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + 1)(E(S) - E(T))$$

$$\leq cE(S)$$

and then, we conclude by applying the lemma 1.1.

Assume now that $n \geq 2$, we have by (1.14)

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{|u'| \leq 1} (m \cdot \nu)(|u'|^2 + |g(u')|^2) d\Gamma \leq c \int_{|u'| \leq 1} (m \cdot \nu)(u' \cdot g(u'))^{\frac{2}{p+1}} d\Gamma$$

$$\leq c \left(\int_{|u'| \leq 1} (m \cdot \nu) u' \cdot g(u') d\Gamma \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \leq c(-E')^{\frac{2}{p+1}},$$

hence, the Young inequality gives for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the estimate

$$\int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{|u'| \leq 1} (m \cdot \nu)(|u'|^2 + |g(u')|^2) d\Gamma dt \leq c \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-E')^{\frac{2}{p+1}} dt$$

$$\leq c \int_S^T \varepsilon E^{\frac{p+1}{2}} - c(\varepsilon) E' \leq \varepsilon \int_S^T E^{\frac{p+1}{2}}(t) dt + c(\varepsilon) E(S).$$

Whence, (2.6) becomes

$$(2 - \varepsilon) \int_S^T E^{\frac{p+1}{2}}(t) dt \leq c(\varepsilon) E(S)$$

$$(2.8) \quad + c \int_S^T E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{|u'| > 1} (m \cdot \nu)(|u'|^2 + |g(u')|^2) d\Gamma dt.$$

On the other hand we have

$$E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{|u'| > 1} (m \cdot \nu)(|u'|^2 + |g(u')|^2) d\Gamma \leq cE^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{|u'| > 1} (m \cdot \nu)|u'|^2 d\Gamma$$

$$\leq cE^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{|u'| > 1} (m \cdot \nu)|u'|^{2-s}(u' \cdot g(u'))^s d\Gamma, \quad \text{where } s := \frac{2}{p+1} \quad (0 < s < 1)$$

$$\leq cE^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \| |u'|^{2-s} \|_{\frac{1}{1-s}} \| (u' \cdot g(u'))^s \|_{\frac{1}{s}}$$

$$= cE^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \| u' \|_{\alpha}^{(1-s)\alpha} \| u' \cdot g(u') \|_1^s \quad \text{with } \alpha := \frac{2-s}{1-s}$$

$$= cE^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \| u' \|_{\alpha}^{(1-s)\alpha} (-E')^s \leq \varepsilon E^{\frac{p-1}{2(1-s)}} \| u' \|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} - c(\varepsilon) E'$$

$$= \varepsilon E^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \| u' \|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} - c(\varepsilon) E'.$$

Using the trace theorem

$$H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2p}{p-1}}(\Gamma) = L^\alpha(\Gamma)$$

we conclude that

$$E^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{|u'|>1} (m \cdot \nu) |u'|^2 d\Gamma \leq c\varepsilon E^{\frac{p+1}{2}} - c(\varepsilon)E',$$

and hence (2.8) becomes

$$(2.9) \quad (2 - c\varepsilon) \int_S^T E^{\frac{p+1}{2}}(t) dt \leq c(\varepsilon)E(S).$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{c}$ (for example), (2.9) yields

$$(2.10) \quad \int_S^T E^{\frac{p+1}{2}}(t) dt \leq cE(S)$$

and lemma 1.1 gives the desired decay estimate.

Acknowledgments

I thank my thesis advisor, Vilmos Komornik, under whose guidance the results reported here were obtained. I am deeply indebted to Professor Mitsuhiro Nakao for his relevant advice.

References

1. M. Aassila, *Strong asymptotic stability for n-dimensional thermoelasticity systems*, Colloquium Mathematicum, to appear.
2. A. D. Kovalenko, *Thermoelasticity, Basic Theoric and Applications*, Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen, Netherlands, 1969.
3. V. Komornik, *On the nonlinear boundary stabilization of the wave equation*, Chin. Ann. of Math. **14B:2** (1993), 153–164.
4. V. Komornik, *Exact Controllability and Stabilization. The Multiplier Method*, Masson, Paris, 1994.
5. V. Komornik, *Boundary stabilization of isotropic elasticity systems*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math **174** (1995), 135–146.
6. V. Komornik and B. Rao, *Boundary stabilization of compactly coupled wave equations*, Asymptotic Anal. **14** (1997), 339–359.
7. V. Komornik and E. Zuazua, *A direct method for the boundary stabilization of the wave equation*, J. Math. Pures and Appli. **69** (1990), 33–59.
8. J. Lagnese, *boundary stabilization of thin plates*, SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics, vol. 10, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1989.
9. J. Lagnese, *Uniform asymptotic energy estimates for solutions of the equations of dynamic plane elasticity with nonlinear dissipation at the boundary*, Nonlinear Analysis T. M. A. **16** (1991), 35–54.

10. I. Lasiecka, *Stabilization of wave and plate-like equation with nonlinear dissipation on the boundary*, J. Diff. Equa. **79** (1989), 340–381.
11. I. Lasiecka and D. Tataru, *Uniform boundary stabilization of semilinear wave equation with nonlinear boundary damping*, Diff. Integ. Equa. **6(3)** (1993), 507–533.
12. J.-L. Lions, *Exact controllability, stabilization and perturbations of distributed systems*, SIAM Rev. **30** (1988), 1–68.
13. E. Zuazua, *Uniform stabilization of the wave equation by nonlinear boundary feedback*, SIAM J. Control and Optim. **28** (1990), 466–478.

Mohammed Aassila

Département de Mathématique, Université Louis Pasteur
7, rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg cédex, France.

E-mail: `aassila@math.u-strasbg.fr`