

## A curvature form for pseudoconnections

C. Morales and M. Vilches

(Received January 8, 2007)

**Abstract.** We obtain the curvature form  $F^\nabla = P \circ d^\nabla \circ \nabla - d^\nabla \circ P \circ \nabla + d^\nabla \circ \nabla \circ P$  for a vector bundle pseudoconnection  $\nabla$ , where  $d^\nabla$  is the exterior derivative associated to  $\nabla$ . We use  $F^\nabla$  to obtain the curvature of  $\nabla$ . We also prove that  $F^\nabla = 0$  is a necessary (but not sufficient) condition for  $d^\nabla$  to be a chain complex. Instead we prove that  $F^\nabla = 0$  and  $d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla \circ \nabla = 0$  are necessary and sufficient conditions for  $d^\nabla$  to be a *chain 2-complex*, i.e.,  $d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla = 0$ .

*AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C05, Secondary 55R25.

*Key words and phrases.* Curvature Form, Pseudoconnection, Vector Bundle.

### §1. Introduction

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold. Denote by  $\Omega^0(M)$  the ring of  $C^\infty$  real valued maps in  $M$ . Denote by  $\chi^\infty(M)$  and  $\Omega^k(M)$  respectively the  $\Omega^0(M)$ -modules of  $C^\infty$  vector fields and  $k$ -forms defined on  $M$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . Denote by  $d : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(M)$  the standard exterior derivation of  $k$ -forms of  $M$ . We denote by  $Hom(A, B)$  the set of homomorphisms from the modulus  $A$  to the modulus  $B$ . If  $\xi$  is a real smooth vector bundle over  $M$  we denote by  $\Omega^k(\xi)$  the set of  $\xi$ -valued  $k$ -forms of  $M$ , namely,  $\Omega^0(\xi)$  is the  $\Omega^0(M)$ -module of smooth sections of  $\xi$  and  $\Omega^k(\xi) = \Omega^k(M) \otimes \Omega^0(\xi)$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . If  $\eta$  is another vector bundle over  $M$  we denote by  $HOM(\xi, \eta)$  the set of bundle homomorphisms from  $\xi$  to  $\eta$  over the identity. Every  $P \in HOM(\xi, \eta)$  induces a homomorphism  $P \in Hom(\Omega^0(\xi), \Omega^0(\eta))$  of  $\Omega^0(M)$  modules in the usual way. It also defines a homomorphism  $P \in Hom(\Omega^k(\xi), \Omega^k(\eta))$  for all  $k \geq 1$  by setting  $P(\omega \otimes s) = \omega \otimes P(s)$  at every generator  $\omega \otimes s \in \Omega^k(\xi)$ .

A *pseudoconnection* of a vector bundle  $\xi$  over  $M$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map  $\nabla : \Omega^0(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^0(\xi)$  for which there is a bundle homomorphism  $P \in HOM(\xi, \xi)$

called the principal homomorphism of  $\nabla$  such that the Leibnitz rule below holds:

$$\nabla(f \cdot s) = df \otimes P(s) + f \cdot \nabla(s), \quad \forall (f, s) \in \Omega^0(M) \times \Omega^0(\xi).$$

(See [2].) An *ordinary connection* is a pseudoconnection whose principal homomorphism is the identity. By classical arguments we shall associate to any pseudoconnection  $\nabla$  an *exterior derivative*, that is, a sequence of linear maps  $d^\nabla : \Omega^k(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(\xi)$  which reduces to  $\nabla$  when  $k = 0$  and satisfies a Leibnitz rule. We shall use it to define the curvature form  $F^\nabla : \Omega^0(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^2(\xi)$  as the alternating sum

$$F^\nabla = P \circ d^\nabla \circ \nabla - d^\nabla \circ P \circ \nabla + d^\nabla \circ \nabla \circ P.$$

Notice that  $F^\nabla$  above reduces to the classical curvature form if  $\nabla$  were an ordinary connection. We shall prove that  $F^\nabla$  is a tensor, that is,  $F^\nabla \in \text{Hom}(\Omega^0(\xi), \Omega^2(\xi))$ , and explain how to obtain the Abe's curvature [2] from  $F^\nabla$ . We also prove that  $F^\nabla = 0$  is a necessary (but not sufficient) condition for  $d^\nabla$  to be a chain complex. Instead we prove that  $F^\nabla = 0$  and  $d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla \circ \nabla = 0$  are necessary and sufficient conditions for  $d^\nabla$  to be a *chain 2-complex*, i.e.,  $d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla = 0$ .

## §2. Results

Let  $\xi, \eta$  be real smooth vector bundles over a differentiable manifold  $M$ . An *O-derivative operator from  $\xi$  to  $\eta$  with principal homomorphism  $P \in \text{HOM}(\xi, \eta)$*  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map  $\nabla : \Omega^0(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^0(\eta)$  satisfying the Leibnitz rule

$$\nabla(f \cdot s) = df \otimes P(s) + f \cdot \nabla(s), \quad \forall (f, s) \in \Omega^0(M) \times \Omega^0(\xi).$$

(See [1].) Notice that a pseudoconnection of  $\xi$  is nothing but an *O-derivative operator from  $\xi$  to itself*.

As in [1] we denote by  $O(\xi, \eta; P)$  the set whose elements are the *O-derivative operators with principal homomorphism  $P$  from  $\xi$  to  $\eta$* . We even write  $O(\xi; P)$  instead of  $O(\xi, \xi; P)$  and define

$$O(\xi, \eta) = \bigcup_P O(\xi, \eta; P) \quad \text{and} \quad O(\xi) = \bigcup_P O(\xi; P).$$

Every  $\alpha \in \text{HOM}(\xi, \eta)$  induces an alternating product

$$\wedge_\alpha : \Omega^k(M) \times \Omega^l(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+l}(\eta)$$

defined at the generators by

$$\beta \wedge_\alpha (\omega \otimes s) = (\beta \wedge \omega) \otimes \alpha(s).$$

If  $\eta = \xi$  and  $\alpha$  is the identity, then  $\wedge_\alpha$  reduced to the ordinary alternating product  $\wedge$  ([3]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *For every  $\nabla \in O(\xi, \eta; P)$  there is a unique sequence of linear maps  $d^\nabla : \Omega^k(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(\eta)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , satisfying the following properties:*

1. *If  $k = 0$ , then*

$$d^\nabla = \nabla.$$

2. *If  $k, l \geq 0$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$  and  $S \in \Omega^l(\xi)$ , then*

$$d^\nabla(\omega \wedge S) = d\omega \wedge_P S + (-1)^k \omega \wedge d^\nabla S.$$

*Proof.* First define the map  $D^\nabla : \Omega^k(M) \times \Omega^0(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(\eta)$  by

$$D^\nabla(\omega, s) = d\omega \otimes P(s) + (-1)^k \omega \wedge \nabla s, \quad \forall (\omega, s) \in \Omega^k(M) \times \Omega^0(\xi).$$

Clearly  $D^\nabla$  is linear and satisfies

$$D^\nabla(f \cdot \omega, s) = D^\nabla(\omega, f \cdot s)$$

for all  $f \in \Omega^0(M)$  and  $(\omega, s) \in \Omega^k(M) \times \Omega^0(\xi)$ . Therefore  $D^\nabla$  induces a linear map  $d^\nabla : \Omega^k(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(\eta)$  whose value at the generator  $\omega \otimes s$  of  $\Omega^k(\xi)$  is given by

$$d^\nabla(\omega \otimes s) = d\omega \otimes P(s) + (-1)^k \omega \wedge \nabla s.$$

It follows that  $d^\nabla$  and  $\nabla$  coincide at the generators (for  $k = 0$ ) by the Leibnitz rule of  $\nabla$ . Therefore (1) holds. The proof of (2) follows as in [3]. This ends the proof.  $\square$

The sequence  $d^\nabla$  in the lemma above will be referred to as the *exterior derivative* of  $\nabla \in O(\xi, \eta)$ . Next we state the following definition.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\nabla$  be a pseudoconnection with principal homomorphism  $P$  on a vector bundle  $\xi$ . We define the following maps

$$E^\nabla, F^\nabla : \Omega^0(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^2(\xi), \quad L^\nabla : \Omega^0(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^1(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad G^\nabla : \Omega^0(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^3(\xi)$$

by

- $E^\nabla = d^\nabla \circ \nabla$ ;
- $F^\nabla = P \circ d^\nabla \circ \nabla - d^\nabla \circ P \circ \nabla + d^\nabla \circ \nabla \circ P$ ;
- $L^\nabla = P \circ \nabla - \nabla \circ P$ ;
- $G^\nabla = d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla \circ \nabla$ .

The map  $F^\nabla$  will be referred to as the *curvature form* of  $\nabla$ .

The maps in the definition above are related by the expressions

$$(2.1) \quad F^\nabla = P \circ E^\nabla - d^\nabla \circ L^\nabla, \quad G^\nabla = d^\nabla \circ E^\nabla.$$

As already observed the curvature form  $F^\nabla$  of a pseudoconnection  $\nabla$  reduces to the classical curvature form when  $\nabla$  is an ordinary connection [3].

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $\nabla$  is a pseudoconnection of  $\xi$ , then  $F^\nabla \in \text{Hom}(\Omega^0(\xi), \Omega^2(\xi))$  and  $L^\nabla \in \text{Hom}(\Omega^0(\xi), \Omega^1(\xi))$ .*

*Proof.* It is not difficult to see that  $L^\nabla \in \text{Hom}(\Omega^0(\xi), \Omega^1(\xi))$ . On the other hand,

$$P(\omega \wedge S) = \omega \wedge_P S, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega^1(M), \forall S \in \Omega^1(\xi)$$

so

$$E^\nabla(f \cdot s) = df \wedge L^\nabla(s) + f \cdot E^\nabla(s), \quad \forall f \in \Omega^0(M), \forall s \in \Omega^0(\xi).$$

Then, (2.1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} F^\nabla(f \cdot s) &= P(E^\nabla(f \cdot s)) - d^\nabla(L^\nabla(f \cdot s)) = P(df \wedge L^\nabla(s) + f \cdot E^\nabla(s)) - d^\nabla(f \cdot L^\nabla(s)) \\ &= df \wedge_P L^\nabla(s) - df \wedge_P L^\nabla(s) + f \cdot F^\nabla(s) = f \cdot F^\nabla(s) \end{aligned}$$

$\forall f \in \Omega^0(M), \forall s \in \Omega^0(\xi)$ . Therefore  $F^\nabla \in \text{Hom}(\Omega^0(\xi), \Omega^2(\xi))$  and we are done. This ends the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $\nabla$  is a pseudoconnection of a vector bundle  $\xi$  and  $i \geq 0$ , then*

$$(2.2) \quad d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla(\omega \otimes s) = d\omega \wedge F^\nabla(s) + (-1)^i \omega \wedge G^\nabla(s)$$

for every generator  $\omega \otimes s \in \Omega^i(\xi)$ .

*Proof.* First notice that

$$\begin{aligned} d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla(\omega \otimes s) &= d^\nabla(d^\nabla(\omega \otimes s)) = d^\nabla(d\omega \otimes P(s) + (-1)^i \omega \wedge \nabla s) = \\ &= d^2\omega \otimes P^2(s) + (-1)^{i+1} d\omega \wedge \nabla P(s) + (-1)^i (d\omega \wedge_P \nabla s + \\ &+ (-1)^i \omega \wedge d^\nabla(\nabla s)) = (-1)^i [d\omega \wedge (P\nabla s - \nabla P s) + (-1)^i \omega \wedge d^\nabla(\nabla s)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla(\omega \otimes s) = \omega \wedge E^\nabla(s) + (-1)^i d\omega \wedge L^\nabla(s).$$

Applying  $d^\nabla$  to this expression we get (2.2). The proof follows.  $\square$

As is well known the curvature form  $F^\nabla$  of an ordinary connection  $\nabla$  measures how the exterior derivative  $d^\nabla$  of  $\nabla$  deviates from being a *chain complex*, i.e.,  $d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla = 0$ . Indeed,  $d^\nabla$  is a chain complex if and only if  $F^\nabla = 0$ . However, the analogous result for pseudoconnections is false in general by Proposition 2.8 below. Despite we shall obtain a pseudoconnection version of this result based on the following definition.

**Definition 2.5.** A pseudoconnection  $\nabla$  is called:

1. *strongly flat* if  $E^\nabla = 0$  and  $L^\nabla = 0$ ,
2. *weakly flat* if  $F^\nabla = 0$  and  $G^\nabla = 0$ .

For ordinary connections one has  $F^\nabla = E^\nabla$ ,  $L^\nabla = 0$  and then the notions of flatness above coincide with the classical flatness [3]. The exterior derivative  $d^\nabla$  of a pseudoconnection  $\nabla$  is said to be a *chain 2-complex* if  $d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla = 0$ . With these definitions we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.6.** *A pseudoconnection  $\nabla$  is weakly flat (resp. strongly flat) if and only if  $d^\nabla$  is a chain 2-complex (resp. chain complex).*

*Proof.* We only prove the result for weakly flat since the proof for strongly flat is analogous.

Fix a pseudoconnection  $\nabla$  with principal homomorphism  $P$  on a vector bundle  $\xi$ . If  $\nabla$  is weakly flat then  $d^\nabla$  is a chain 2-complex by (2.2) in Lemma 2.4. Conversely, if  $d^\nabla$  is a chain 2-complex, then both  $d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla$  and  $d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla \circ \nabla$  vanish hence  $\omega \wedge F^\nabla(s) = 0$  for all exact form  $\omega$  of  $M$  and all  $s \in \Omega^0(\xi)$  by (2.2) in Lemma 2.4. Since every form in  $M$  is locally a  $\Omega^0(M)$ -linear combination of alternating product of exact forms we obtain that  $\omega \wedge F^\nabla(s) = 0$  for all  $k$ -form  $\omega$  of  $M$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) and all  $s \in \Omega^0(\xi)$ . From this we obtain that  $F^\nabla = 0$  so  $\nabla$  is weakly flat. The proof follows.  $\square$

The following is a direct corollary of the above theorem.

**Corollary 2.7.** *If the exterior derivative  $d^\nabla$  of a pseudoconnection  $\nabla$  is a chain complex, then  $F^\nabla = 0$ .*

The converse of the above corollary is false by the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.8.** *There is a pseudoconnection  $\nabla$  with  $F^\nabla = 0$  such that  $d^\nabla$  is not a chain complex.*

*Proof.* Choose a suitable vector bundle  $\xi$  over  $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\Phi_2, \Phi_3 \in \text{HOM}(\xi, \xi)$  such that  $\Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2 \neq \Phi_2 \circ \Phi_3$  and three 1-forms  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \in \Omega^1(M)$  such that  $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3$  never vanishes. Define the map  $\nabla : \Omega^0(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^1(\xi)$  by

$$\nabla s = \omega_1 \otimes s + \omega_2 \otimes \Phi_2(s) + \omega_3 \otimes \Phi_3(s).$$

We have that  $\nabla \in \text{Hom}(\Omega^0(\xi), \Omega^1(\xi))$  therefore  $\nabla$  is a pseudoconnection with zero principal homomorphism so  $F^\nabla = 0$ . On the other hand, an straightforward computation yields

$$G^\nabla(s) = (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3) \otimes (\Phi_3 \circ \Phi_2 - \Phi_2 \circ \Phi_3)(s), \quad \forall s \in \Omega^0(\xi)$$

therefore  $G^\nabla \neq 0$  and so  $\nabla$  is not weakly flat. Then,  $d^\nabla$  cannot be a chain complex by Theorem 2.6 since a chain complex is necessarily a chain 2-complex. This ends the proof.  $\square$

To finish we explain how the Abe's curvature [2] can be obtained from the curvature form  $F^\nabla$ . For this we need some short definitions (see [3]).

Given a vector bundle  $\xi$  over  $M$  and  $k$  vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_k \in \chi^\infty(M)$  we define the evaluation map  $Ev_{X_1, \dots, X_k} : \Omega^k(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^0(\xi)$  by defining

$$Ev_{X_1, \dots, X_k}(\omega \otimes s) = w(X_1, \dots, X_k) \cdot s$$

at each generator  $\omega \otimes s \in \Omega^k(\xi)$ . If  $\nabla \in O(\xi)$  and  $X, Y \in \chi^\infty(M)$  we define  $\nabla_X : \Omega^0(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^0(\xi)$  by

$$\nabla_X s = Ev_X(\nabla s)$$

and  $F_{X,Y}^\nabla : \Omega^0(\xi) \rightarrow \Omega^0(\xi)$  by

$$F_{X,Y}^\nabla = Ev_{X,Y}(F^\nabla(s)), \quad \forall s \in \Omega^0(\xi).$$

**Theorem 2.9.** *If  $\nabla \in O(\xi; P)$  then*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{X,Y}^\nabla(s) &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y(Ps) - \nabla_Y \nabla_X(Ps) - \nabla_X P(\nabla_Y s) + P \nabla_X \nabla_Y s + \\ &\quad + \nabla_Y P(\nabla_X s) - P \nabla_Y \nabla_X s - P \left( \nabla_{[X,Y]} P(s) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $X, Y \in \chi^\infty(M)$  and all  $s \in \Omega^0(\xi)$ .

*Proof.* By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad F_{X,Y}^\nabla(s) &= \\ &= Ev_{X,Y}(P(d^\nabla(\nabla s))) - Ev_{X,Y}(d^\nabla(P(\nabla s))) + Ev_{X,Y}(d^\nabla(\nabla(Ps))). \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute the three sumands separated way. First of all if  $\omega \otimes s \in \Omega^1(\xi)$  is a generator then

$$(2.4) \quad Ev_{X,Y}(d^\nabla(\omega \otimes s)) = dw(X, Y) \cdot P(s) - \omega(X) \cdot \nabla_Y s + \omega(Y) \cdot \nabla_X s.$$

Now, as  $\nabla s \in \Omega^1(\xi)$  and  $\{\omega \otimes s' : (\omega, s') \in \Omega^1(M) \times \Omega^0(\xi)\}$  is a generating set of  $\Omega^1(\xi)$  we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla s = \sum_{r=1}^k \omega_r \otimes s_r,$$

for some  $(\omega_r, s_r) \in \Omega^1(M) \times \Omega^0(\xi)$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, k$ . Then (2.4) implies

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} Ev_{X,Y}(d^\nabla(\nabla s)) &= \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^k \{dw_r(X, Y) \cdot P(s_r) - \omega_r(X) \cdot \nabla_Y s_r + \omega_r(Y) \cdot \nabla_X s_r\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, (2.5) yields

$$\nabla_X s = \sum_{r=1}^k \omega_r(X) \cdot s_r$$

therefore

$$\nabla_Y \nabla_X s = \sum_{r=1}^k \{d[\omega_r(X)](Y) \cdot P(s_r) + \omega_r(Y) \cdot \omega_r(X) \cdot \nabla_Y s_r\}.$$

But  $\nabla_{[X,Y]} s = \sum_{r=1}^k \omega_r([X, Y]) \cdot s_r$ , so

$$P(\nabla_{[X,Y]} s) = \sum_{r=1}^k \omega_r([X, Y]) \cdot P(s_r)$$

and then

$$(2.7) \quad Ev_{X,Y}(d^\nabla(\nabla s)) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y s - \nabla_Y \nabla_X s - P(\nabla_{[X,Y]} s)$$

because of (2.6). Replacing  $s$  by  $P(s)$  in (2.7) we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad Ev_{X,Y}(d^\nabla(\nabla P(s))) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y P(s) - \nabla_Y \nabla_X P(s) - P(\nabla_{[X,Y]} P(s)).$$

Besides (2.5) implies

$$P(\nabla s) = \sum_{r=1}^k \omega_r \otimes P(s_r)$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} Ev_{X,Y}(d^\nabla(P\nabla s)) &= \sum_{r=1}^k Ev_{X,Y}(d^\nabla(\omega_r \otimes P(s_r))) = \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^k [dw_r(X, Y) \cdot P^2(s_r) - \omega_r(X) \nabla_X P(s_r) + \omega_r(Y) \cdot \nabla_X P(s_r)] \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\nabla_Y P(\nabla_X s) = \sum_{r=1}^k \{d[\omega_r(X)](Y) \cdot P^2(s_r) + \omega_r(X) \cdot \nabla_Y P(s_r)\}.$$

As  $P^2(\nabla_{[X,Y]}s) = \sum_{r=1}^k \omega_r([X,Y]) \cdot P^2(s_r)$  we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad Ev_{X,Y}(d^\nabla(P(\nabla s))) = \nabla_X P(\nabla_Y s) - \nabla_Y P(\nabla_X s) - P^2(\nabla_{[X,Y]}s).$$

As the maps  $P$  and  $Ev_{X,Y}$  commute we can apply  $P$  to (2.7) and use (2.3), (2.8), (2.9) to obtain the result.  $\square$

**Remark 2.10.**  $F_{X,Y}^\nabla(s)$  in Theorem 2.9 is the curvature  $K(\nabla)_{X,Y}(s)$  defined in [2] p. 328.

### References

- [1] Abe, N., *Geometry of certain first order differential operators and its applications to general connections*, Kodai Math. J. **11** (1988), no. 2, 205–223.
- [2] Abe, N., *General connections on vector bundles*, Kodai Math. J. **8** (1985), 322–329.
- [3] Madsen, I., Tornehave, J., *From calculus to cohomology. de Rham cohomology and characteristic classes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

C. Morales

Instituto de Matematica, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro  
P. O. Box 68530, 21945-970 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

M. Vilches

Departamento de Análise Matemática, IME, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro  
20550-013, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil