Propagation of singularities for a system of semilinear wave equations with null condition

Shingo Ito and Keiichi Kato

(Received July 14, 2008)

Abstract. We study the propagation of singularities for a system of semilinear wave equations satisfying the null condition in one space dimension. We show that if a solution (u,v) to the system is in $H^s_{loc}(\Omega) \cap H^r_{ml}(0,x_0,\tau_0,\xi_0)$, then $(u,v) \in H^r_{ml}(\Gamma)$ as long as 3/2 < s < r < 2s - 1, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is an open set and Γ is a null bicharacteristic of \square passing through $(0,x_0,\tau_0,\xi_0)$.

AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35L05.

Key words and phrases. Wave equation, propagation of singularity, null condition.

§1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the propagation of singularities of solutions to the following system of semilinear wave equations with the null condition in one space dimension,

$$\begin{cases} \Box u = h_1(u,v)Q_0(u,u) + h_2(u,v)Q_0(u,v) + h_3(u,v)Q_0(v,v) + h_4(u,v)Q_1(u,v), \\ \Box v = h_5(u,v)Q_0(u,u) + h_6(u,v)Q_0(u,v) + h_7(u,v)Q_0(v,v) + h_8(u,v)Q_1(u,v), \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), \ \partial_t u(0,x) = u_1(x), \\ v(0,x) = v_0(x), \ \partial_t v(0,x) = v_1(x), \end{cases}$$

where $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, u(t,x), v(t,x), $u_0(x)$, $u_1(x)$, $v_0(x)$ and $v_1(x)$ are real valued functions, $h_j(u,v)$ are polynomials of u and v for $j=1,2,\ldots,8$ and Q_0, Q_1 are the null forms

$$(1.2) Q_0(f,g) = (\partial_t f)(\partial_t g) - (\partial_x f)(\partial_x g)$$

and

(1.3)
$$Q_1(f,g) = (\partial_t f)(\partial_x g) - (\partial_x f)(\partial_t g).$$

We assume that $3/2 < s \le 2$, initial data u_0 and v_0 are in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and u_1 and v_1 are in $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$.

Notation. $\langle \xi \rangle$ and $\langle \tau, \xi \rangle$ denote $(1+|\xi|^2)^{1/2}$ and $(1+|\tau|^2+|\xi|^2)^{1/2}$ respectively. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open, $H^s_{loc}(\Omega)$ is the standard Sobolev space of distributions u such that $\langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{\phi u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Let $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^n_{\xi} \setminus \{0\})$. We say that u is in microlocally H^r at (x_0, ξ_0) and write $u \in H^r_{ml}(x_0, \xi_0)$ if there exists a $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\phi(x_0) = 1$ and a conic neighborhood K of ξ_0 in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle \xi \rangle^r \chi_K(\xi) | \widehat{\phi u}(\xi)| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where χ_K is the characteristic function of K. If Γ is a closed conic set in $\Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^n_{\xi} \setminus \{0\})$, we say that $u \in H^r_{ml}(\Gamma)$ if $u \in H^r_{ml}(x,\xi)$ for all $(x,\xi) \in \Gamma$. Let $p(x,\xi)$ is a characteristic polynomial of differential operator P of order m and homogeneous of degree m in ξ . For a point (x_0,ξ_0) with $p(x_0,\xi_0)=0$, the null bicharacteristic through (x_0,ξ_0) is the curve defined by $\frac{dx}{ds} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}, \frac{d\xi}{ds} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$ with $x(0) = x_0, \, \xi(0) = \xi_0$. Throughout this paper, C_s serves as a generalized positive constant depending only on s if the precise value of which is not needed.

In the case of the linear wave equation $\Box u=0$, Hörmander [9] has shown that the wave front set of u propagates along the null bicharacteristic for \Box . Generally, in the case of nonlinear wave equations, such a result cannot be obtained. However, it is known that if we consider the microlocal Sobolev regularity and assume the suitable range of the Sobolev exponent, then a phenomenon similar to the linear case is observed. In [20], Rauch first analyzed such result for the solutions to $\Box u=f(u)$ where f is a polynomial of u. Let $f \in C^{\infty}$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, $u \in H^s_{loc}(\Omega) \cap H^r_{ml}(t_0, x_0, \tau_0, \xi_0)$ be a solution to

$$(1.4) \Box u = f(u, Du)$$

and $(t_0, x_0, \tau_0, \xi_0)$ is a point in the null bicharacteristic $\Gamma \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$ of \square . Bony [7] and Beals-Reed [6] have shown that u is in H^r_{ml} at all points of Γ as long as for $n/2+1 < s \le r < 2s-1-n/2$ by the different way, respectively. For a second order strictly hyperbolic differential operator $p_2(x,D)$, Beals [5] has shown that solutions $u \in H^s_{loc}(\Omega) \cap H^r_{ml}(x_0,\xi_0)$ to $p_2(x,D)u = f(u,Du)$ is in H^r_{ml} at all points of a null bicharacteristic of p_2 starting from (x_0,ξ_0) as long as for $n/2+1 < s \le r < 3s-n-2$ by using a simple commutator lemma, Rauch's lemma and the standard calculus of pseudo differential operators. The technique used in Beals [5] plays an important role in this paper. In [18], Linqi Liu has shown that the same result holds in the case of $n/2+1 < s \le n$

r < 3s - n - 1 for $\Box u = f(u, Du)$ by using a particular kind of weighted Sobolev spaces. In the case of a system, H.Michael [19] has shown that for $U = (u_1, \ldots, u_m) \in H^s_{loc}(\Omega) \cap H^r_{ml}(x_0, \xi_0)$ which are solutions to $p_2(x, D)U = G(U)$ $(G \in C^{\infty})$, U is in H^r_{ml} at all points of the null bicharacteristic of Γ as long as for $n/2 < s \le r < 3s - n + 1$. On the other hand, if r is sufficiently large, new singularities are observed (refer to [3], [4], [21] and [22]). We are interested in the threshold of r and s. Although numerous attempts have been made to study these analysis, the threshold of r has not been determined exactly. In [11] and [12], we consider the case which nonlinearity satisfying the null condition. The null condition is defined by Klainerman [14]. Klainerman introduced the null condition as a sufficient condition for a global existence of smooth solutions to $\Box u = F(u, u', u'')$, which is defined as follows.

Definition 1.1. (Klainerman [14]) Let $F(u, v_1, ..., v_n)$ a real valued function, smoothly defined in a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that F(u, Du) (where Du denote the first partial derivatives of u) satisfies the null condition if, for any u, v and any vector $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ such that $X_1^2 - \sum_{i=2}^n X_i^2 = 0$, the following identity holds;

(1.5)
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v_i \partial v_j} X_i X_j = 0.$$

The attempt to lead the global and local existence theorem has been studied by a lot of people who have improved the null condition (refer to [1], [2], [8], [10], [13], [16], [17] and [23]). The null condition of semilinear wave equation which we consider are restricted to (1.1). In [12], we improved a lower bound of the threshold of s and r in the case that the nonlinear term satisfies the null condition. We have shown that if $n/2 < s \le r < 3s - n$ then u is in H_{ml}^r at all points of a null bicharacteristic of \square . The key of the proof is to make the Cole-Hopf type transformation to u. This transformation makes nonlinearity of (1.4) change to a polynomial of first degree with respect to Du. Then, we can apply the result of Beals [5] directly. This feature is obtained when nonlinearity satisfies the null condition.

The result of this paper is an extension of [12] to the system (1.1) and we show that the same result is true for a time local solution (u, v) of the system (1.1) as long as $3/2 < s \le r < 2s - 1$. In the case of the system (1.1), the Cole-Hopf type transformation doesn't work. To avoid this problem, we estimate the microlocal regularity of the solution in the function space used by Klainerman and Machedon [15]. Firstly, we construct a time local solution of the initial value problem (1.1) in the function space associated to \square introduce in [15]. Secondly, we prove a propagation of a singularities to the constructed solution in the above function space by using the idea of Beals [5].

In order to state the main results precisely, we define several function spaces. We put

$$X^{s} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f \in H^{s}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{2}) \\ | f|_{t=0} \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), \ \partial_{t} f|_{t=0} \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}), \\ ||\Box f||_{X^{s-1}} < \infty \end{array} \right\}$$

with norm

(1.7)
$$||f||_{X^s} = ||f|_{t=0}||_{H^s} + ||\partial_t f|_{t=0}||_{H^{s-1}} + ||\Box f||_{X_1^{s-1}}$$
 and $||F||_{X_1^s} = ||\langle D_x \rangle^s F||_{L^2_{t,x}}.$

Proposition 1.2. Let $3/2 < s \le 2$. Then for any u_0 , $v_0 \in H^s$ and u_1 , $v_1 \in H^{s-1}$, there exists a positive constant T and a unique time local solution (u, v) of the initial value problem (1.1) satisfying

$$(1.8) (u,v) \in \{X^s \cap L^{\infty}([-T,T]; H_x^s)\} \times \{X^s \cap L^{\infty}([-T,T]; H_x^s)\}.$$

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.3. Let $3/2 < s \le 2$ and $(u,v) \in \{X^s \cap L^{\infty}([-T,T]; H_x^s)\} \times \{X^s \cap L^{\infty}([-T,T]; H_x^s)\}$ be a time local solution constructed in Proposition 1.2. If $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2_{t,x} \times (\mathbb{R}^2_{\tau,\xi} \setminus \{0\})$ denotes a null bicharacteristic of \square and $(u,v) \in H^r_{ml}(0,x_0,\tau_0,\xi_0) \times H^r_{ml}(0,x_0,\tau_0,\xi_0)$ for a point $(0,x_0,\tau_0,\xi_0)$ on Γ , then $(u,v) \in H^r_{ml}(\Gamma) \times H^r_{ml}(\Gamma)$ for |t| < T as long as r < 2s - 1.

Remark 1.4. For the case of s > 2, the same result holds but this case is treated in Beals [5]. So we do not treat this case.

Remark 1.5. Simple calculation shows that the null bicharacteristic of \square through the point $(0, x_0, \tau_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{t,x} \times (\mathbb{R}^2_{\tau,\xi} \setminus \{0\})$ with $\tau_0 = \pm |\xi_0|$ is the straight line $\Gamma = \{(t, x, \tau_0, \xi_0) \mid x = x_0 - (\xi_0/\tau_0)t\}$.

In section 2, we prepare the null form estimate which is necessary for proving the existence of a time local solution. In section 3, we prove the existence of a time local solution. In section 4, we prove a propagation of a singularity theorem.

§2. Estimate for the Null form

In this section, we give the estimates for the X_1^{s-1} norm of the null forms. From the definition (1.2) and (1.3) of the null forms, we can rewrite

(2.1)
$$Q_0(u,v) = \frac{1}{2} \{ (\partial_t + \partial_x)u \cdot (\partial_t - \partial_x)v + (\partial_t - \partial_x)u \cdot (\partial_t + \partial_x)v \}$$

and

(2.2)
$$Q_1(u,v) = \frac{1}{2} \{ (\partial_t - \partial_x) u \cdot (\partial_t + \partial_x) v - (\partial_t + \partial_x) u \cdot (\partial_t - \partial_x) v \}.$$

Let $a(t) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that a(t) = 1 for $|t| \leq 1/2$, a(t) = 0 for $|t| \geq 1$ and $0 \leq a(t) \leq 1$ and put $a_T(t) = a(t/T)$ for T > 0. The following lemma is prepared in order to prove Proposition 2.2.

Lemma 2.1. Let $f, g \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ for $3/2 < s \le 2$. Then

$$(2.3) ||a_T(t)f(x+t)g(x-t)||_{X_1^{s-1}} \le C\sqrt{T} ||f||_{H^{s-1}} ||g||_{H^{s-1}},$$

where C is a constant depending on s and a(t).

Proof. Since s-1>1/2, $fg\in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence we have

$$||a_{T}(t)f(x+t)g(x-t)||_{X_{1}^{s-1}} \leq ||a_{T}(t)|| \langle D_{x} \rangle^{s-1} (f(x+t)g(x-t))||_{L_{x}^{2}} ||_{L_{t}^{2}}$$

$$\leq C_{s} ||a_{T}(t)||_{L_{t}^{2}} ||f||_{H^{s-1}} ||g||_{H^{s-1}}$$

$$\leq C_{s} \sqrt{T} ||a||_{L^{2}} ||f||_{H^{s-1}} ||g||_{H^{s-1}}.$$

Putting $C = C_s ||a||_{L^2}$, we have the conclusion.

Proposition 2.2. Let $(u,v) \in X^s \times X^s$ for $3/2 < s \le 2$. Then we have

$$(2.4) ||a_T(t)Q(u,v)||_{X_1^{s-1}} \le CT'||u||_{X^s}||v||_{X^s},$$

where Q(u, v) stands either $Q_0(u, v)$ or $Q_1(u, v)$, $T' = max\{T^{3/2}, T, T^{1/2}\}$ and C is a constant depending on s and a(t).

Proof. We prove only the case of $Q_0(u,u)$, since the other cases can be proved similarly. We put $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$, $\partial_t u(0,x) = u_1(x)$ and $f_0(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \{u_0(x+t) + u_0(x-t)\} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} u_1(y) dy$. By the density argument, we have

$$a_T(t)(\partial_t \pm \partial_x)u = a_T(t)(\partial_t \pm \partial_x)f_0 + 2a_T(t)\int_0^t \Box u(\alpha, x \pm t \mp \alpha)d\alpha$$

holds in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, H^{s-1}_x(\mathbb{R}))$. Putting $f_{0,\pm} = (\partial_t \pm \partial_x) f_0$, $u_{\pm} = (\partial_t \pm \partial_x) u$ and $\widetilde{u}_{\pm} = 2 \int_0^t \Box u(\alpha, x \pm t \mp \alpha) d\alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|a_T Q_0(u,u)\|_{X_1^{s-1}} \\ &\leq \|a_T \widetilde{u}_+ \widetilde{u}_-\|_{X_*^{s-1}} + \|a_T \widetilde{u}_+ f_{0,-}\|_{X_*^{s-1}} + \|a_T \widetilde{u}_- f_{0,+}\|_{X_*^{s-1}} + \|a_T f_{0,+} f_{0,-}\|_{X_*^{s-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We only show that

since the other terms can be estimated similarly. By Lemma 2.1 and the Schwarz inequality, we obtain

Similarly, we obtain

and

By (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9), we have the conclusion.

§3. Existence of solutions

Let

$$X_{\rho}^{s} = \{ f \in X^{s} \mid \|f|_{t=0}\|_{H^{s}} + \|\partial_{t}f|_{t=0}\|_{H^{s-1}} \le \rho/8, \|\Box f\|_{X_{1}^{s-1}} \le \rho \}$$

and

$$Y^s_{\rho,T} = \{ f \in L^{\infty}([-T,T]; H^s_x) \mid ||f||_{Y^s_T} \le \rho \}$$

with $||f||_{Y_T^s} = ||f||_{L^{\infty}([-T,T];H_x^s)}$. We define a mapping M formally as follows

$$(3.1) \quad M(u,v) = {}^t {M_1(u,v) \choose M_2(u,v)} = {}^t {f_0 + \int_0^t U(t-\alpha)a_T(\alpha)A(u,v;\alpha,x)d\alpha \choose g_0 + \int_0^t U(t-\alpha)a_T(\alpha)B(u,v;\alpha,x)d\alpha},$$

where U is the evolution operator for \square defined by $U(t)\psi(x) = \int_{x-t}^{x+t} \psi(y) dy$, $f_0(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \{u_0(x+t) + u_0(x-t)\} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} u_1(y) dy$, $g_0(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \{v_0(x+t) + v_0(x-t)\} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} v_1(y) dy$ with $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$, $\partial_t u(0,x) = u_1(x)$, $v(0,x) = v_0(x)$ and $\partial_t v(0,x) = v_1(x)$, $a_T(t)$ is a function defined in section 2,

$$A(u, v; t, x)$$

$$= h_1(u, v)Q_0(u, u) + h_2(u, v)Q_0(u, v) + h_3(u, v)Q_0(v, v) + h_4(u, v)Q_1(u, v)$$

and

$$B(u, v; t, x)$$
= $h_5(u, v)Q_0(u, u) + h_6(u, v)Q_0(u, v) + h_7(u, v)Q_0(v, v) + h_8(u, v)Q_1(u, v)$.

We show that the mapping M is a contraction mapping on $(X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s) \times (X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s)$ for sufficiently small T > 0. To prove this, we use the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $(u,v) \in (X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s) \times (X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s)$ for $3/2 < s \le 2$. Then we have

where M_{ℓ} ($\ell = 1, 2$) is the mapping defined in (3.1), $T' = max\{T^{3/2}, T, T^{1/2}\}$, C is a constant depending on s, a(t) and h_i and n is the maximum of orders of h_i (i = 1, 2, ..., 8).

Proof. From the definition (3.1) of the mapping M and the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Box M_1(u,v)\|_{X_1^{s-1}} &= \|a_T(t)A(u,v;t,x)\|_{X_1^{s-1}} \\ &\leq \|a_T(t)h_1(u,v)Q_0(u,u)\|_{X_1^{s-1}} + \|a_T(t)h_2(u,v)Q_0(u,v)\|_{X_1^{s-1}} \\ &+ \|a_T(t)h_3(u,v)Q_0(v,v)\|_{X_1^{s-1}} + \|a_T(t)h_4(u,v)Q_1(u,v)\|_{X_1^{s-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We put $h_i(u, v) = \sum_{0 \le j+k \le n_i} c_{j,k}^{(i)} u^j v^k$. By Proposition 2.2 and the assumption s-1 > 1/2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|a_T(t)h_1(u,v)Q_0(u,u)\|_{X_1^{s-1}} \\ & \leq C_s \left\| \|h_1(u,v)\|_{H_x^{s-1}} \|a_T(t)Q_0(u,u)\|_{H_x^{s-1}} \right\|_{L^2_{[-T,T]}} \\ & \leq C_s \left\| \|h_1(u,v)\|_{H_x^{s-1}} \right\|_{L^\infty_{[-T,T]}} \|a_T(t)Q_0(u,u)\|_{X_1^{s-1}} \\ & \leq C_1 T' \sum_{0 \leq j+k \leq n_1} \|u\|_{Y_T^s}^j \|v\|_{Y_T^s}^k \|u\|_{X^s}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 is a constant depending on s, a(t) and h_1 . Similarly, we have

$$||a_T(t)h_2(u,v)Q_0(u,v)||_{X_1^{s-1}} \le C_2 T' \sum_{0 \le j+k \le n_2} ||u||_{Y_T^s}^j ||v||_{Y_T^s}^k ||u||_{X^s} ||v||_{X^s},$$

$$||a_T(t)h_3(u,v)Q_0(v,v)||_{X_1^{s-1}} \le C_3 T' \sum_{0 \le j+k \le n_3} ||u||_{Y_T^s}^j ||v||_{Y_T^s}^k ||v||_{X^s}^2$$

and

$$||a_T(t)h_4(u,v)Q_1(u,v)||_{X_1^{s-1}} \le C_4 T' \sum_{0 \le j+k \le n_4} ||u||_{Y_T^s}^j ||v||_{Y_T^s}^k ||u||_{X^s} ||v||_{X^s},$$

where C_i are constants depending on s, a(t) and h_i (i = 2, 3, 4). If we put $n = \max_{1 \le i \le 4} n_i$ and $C = \max_{1 \le i \le 4} C_i$, then we obtain

$$\|\Box M_1(u,v)\|_{X_1^{s-1}} \le CT' \sum_{0 \le j+k \le n} \|u\|_{Y_T^s}^j \|v\|_{Y_T^s}^k (\|u\|_{X^s} + \|v\|_{X^s})^2.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\|\Box M_2(u,v)\|_{X_1^{s-1}} \le CT' \sum_{0 \le j+k \le n} \|u\|_{Y_T^s}^j \|v\|_{Y_T^s}^k (\|u\|_{X^s} + \|v\|_{X^s})^2.$$

Lemma 3.2. Under the same assumptions in Lemma 3.1, we have

$$(3.3) ||M_{1}(u,v)||_{Y_{T}^{s}}$$

$$\leq CT' \sum_{0 \leq j+k \leq n} ||u||_{Y_{T}^{s}}^{j} ||v||_{Y_{T}^{s}}^{k} (||u||_{X^{s}} + ||v||_{X^{s}})^{2} + ||u_{0}||_{H^{s}} + 2 ||u_{1}||_{H^{s-1}}$$

and

$$(3.4) ||M_{2}(u,v)||_{Y_{T}^{s}}$$

$$\leq CT' \sum_{0 \leq j+k \leq n} ||u||_{Y_{T}^{s}}^{j} ||v||_{Y_{T}^{s}}^{k} (||u||_{X^{s}} + ||v||_{X^{s}})^{2} + ||v_{0}||_{H^{s}} + 2 ||v_{1}||_{H^{s-1}},$$

where C are constants depending on s, a(t) and h_i (i = 1, 2, ..., 8).

Proof. From the definition (3.1) of the mapping M and the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \|M_{1}(u,v)\|_{Y_{T}^{s}} &= \left\|f_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} U(t-\alpha)a_{T}(\alpha)A(u,v;\alpha,x)d\alpha\right\|_{L^{\infty}([-T,T];H_{x}^{s})} \\ &\leq \|f_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}([-T,T];H_{x}^{s})} + C_{s}\bigg(\left\|\int_{0}^{t} U(t-\alpha)a_{T}(\alpha)A(u,v;\alpha,x)d\alpha\right\|_{L^{\infty}([-T,T];L_{x}^{2})} \\ &+ \left\||D_{x}|^{s} \int_{0}^{t} U(t-\alpha)a_{T}(\alpha)A(u,v;\alpha,x)d\alpha\right\|_{L^{\infty}([-T,T];L_{x}^{2})}\bigg). \end{split}$$

By change of variables and the Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{t} U(t - \alpha) a_{T}(\alpha) A(u, v; \alpha, x) d\alpha \right\|_{L^{\infty}([-T, T]; L^{2}_{x})}$$

$$\leq \left\| \int_{0}^{T} \left\| \int_{x - (t - \alpha)}^{x + t - \alpha} a_{T}(\alpha) A(u, v; \alpha, y) dy \right\|_{L^{2}_{x}} d\alpha \right\|_{L^{\infty}[-T, T]}$$

$$\leq \left\| \int_{0}^{T} \int_{-(t - \alpha)}^{t - \alpha} \|a_{T}(\alpha) A(u, v; \alpha, x + y)\|_{L^{2}_{x}} dy d\alpha \right\|_{L^{\infty}[-T, T]}$$

$$\leq \left\| 2 \sup_{\alpha \in [0, T]} |t - \alpha| \int_{0}^{T} \|a_{T}(\alpha) A(u, v; \alpha, x)\|_{L^{2}_{x}} d\alpha \right\|_{L^{\infty}[-T, T]}$$

$$\leq 2 \left\| \sup_{\alpha \in [0, T]} |t - \alpha| \sqrt{T} \|a_{T}(t) A(u, v; t, x)\|_{X^{s-1}_{1}} \right\|_{L^{\infty}[-T, T]}$$

$$\leq 4T^{3/2} \|a_{T}(t) A(u, v; t, x)\|_{X^{s-1}_{1}}$$

and

$$\begin{split} & \left\| |D_x|^s \int_0^t U(t-\alpha) a_T(\alpha) A(u,v;\alpha,x) d\alpha \right\|_{L^{\infty}([-T,T];L^2_x)} \\ \leq & \left\| \left\| \int_0^t |D_x|^{s-1} a_T(\alpha) (A(u,v;\alpha,x+t-\alpha) - A(u,v;\alpha,x-t+\alpha)) d\alpha \right\|_{L^2_x} \right\|_{L^{\infty}[-T,T]} \\ \leq & \left\| 2 \int_0^T \left\| |D_x|^{s-1} a_T(\alpha) A(u,v;\alpha,x) \right\|_{L^2_x} d\alpha \right\|_{L^{\infty}[-T,T]} \\ \leq & 2 \sqrt{T} \|a_T(t) A(u,v;t,x) \|_{X^{s-1}_x}. \end{split}$$

By a similar calculation for $f_0 = \frac{1}{2} \{u_0(x+t) + u_0(x-t)\} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} u_1(y) dy$, we obtain

$$||f_0||_{L^{\infty}([-T,T];H_x^s)} \le ||u_0||_{H^s} + 2||u_1||_{H^{s-1}} + 2T||u_1||_{H^{s-1}}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have (3.3). Similarly, we obtain (3.4).

Lemma 3.3. Let $(u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in (X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho, T}^s) \times (X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho, T}^s)$ for $3/2 < s \le 2$, h(u, v) is a polynomial of degree n with respect to u and v. Then we have

$$(3.5) \quad \|a_{T}(t)\{h(u,v)Q(u,v) - h(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})Q(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\}\|_{X_{1}^{s-1}} \\ \leq CT'\Big\{\Big(K(u,\tilde{u},v)\|u - \tilde{u}\|_{Y_{T}^{s}} + K(v,\tilde{v},\tilde{u})\|v - \tilde{v}\|_{Y_{T}^{s}}\Big)\|u\|_{X^{s}}\|v\|_{X^{s}} \\ + h(\|\tilde{u}\|_{Y_{T}^{s}}, \|\tilde{v}\|_{Y_{T}^{s}})(\|u - \tilde{u}\|_{X^{s}}\|v\|_{X^{s}} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{X^{s}}\|v - \tilde{v}\|_{X^{s}})\Big\}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \left\| \int_{0}^{t} U(t-\alpha) a_{T}(\alpha) \{h(u,v)Q(u,v) - h(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})Q(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\} d\alpha \right\|_{Y_{T}^{s}}$$

$$\leq CT' \Big\{ \Big(K(u,\tilde{u},v) \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{Y_{T}^{s}} + K(v,\tilde{v},\tilde{u}) \|v - \tilde{v}\|_{Y_{T}^{s}} \Big) \|u\|_{X^{s}} \|v\|_{X^{s}}$$

$$+ h(\|\tilde{u}\|_{Y_{T}^{s}}, \|\tilde{v}\|_{Y_{T}^{s}}) (\|u - \tilde{u}\|_{X^{s}} \|v\|_{X^{s}} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{X^{s}} \|v - \tilde{v}\|_{X^{s}}) \Big\}$$

where

$$K(p,q,r) = \sum_{1 \leq i+j \leq n} \lVert r \rVert_{Y_T^s}^i (\lVert p \rVert_{Y_T^s}^{j-1} + \lVert p \rVert_{Y_T^s}^{j-2} \lVert q \rVert_{Y_T^s} + \lVert p \rVert_{Y_T^s}^{j-3} \lVert q \rVert_{Y_T^s}^2 + \dots + \lVert q \rVert_{Y_T^s}^{j-1}),$$

 $T' = max\{T^{3/2}, T, T^{1/2}\}, \ Q(u, v) \ stands \ either \ Q_0(u, v) \ or \ Q_1(u, v) \ and \ C \ is a \ constant \ depending \ on \ s \ and \ h.$

Proof. Since $3/2 < s \le 2$, we have

$$\begin{split} (3.7) \qquad & \|a_T(t)\{h(u,v)Q(u,v)-h(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})Q(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\}\|_{X_1^{s-1}} \\ & \leq C(\|h(u,v)-h(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\|_{Y_T^s} \|a_T(t)Q(u,v)\|_{X_1^{s-1}} \\ & + \|h(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\|_{Y_T^s} \|a_T(t)\{Q(u,v)-Q(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\}\|_{X_1^{s-1}}). \end{split}$$

Putting $h(u,v) = \sum_{0 \le i+j \le n} c_{i,j} u^i v^j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|h(u,v) - h(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\|_{Y^{s}_{T}} \\ & \leq \|h(u,v) - h(\tilde{u},v)\|_{Y^{s}_{T}} + \|h(\tilde{u},v) - h(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\|_{Y^{s}_{T}} \\ & \leq \left\| (u - \tilde{u}) \sum_{1 \leq i+j \leq n} c_{i,j} v^{j} (u^{i-1} + \dots + \tilde{u}^{i-1}) \right\|_{Y^{s}_{T}} \\ & + \left\| (v - \tilde{v}) \sum_{1 \leq i+j \leq n} c_{i,j} \tilde{u}^{i} (v^{j-1} + \dots + \tilde{v}^{j-1}) \right\|_{Y^{s}_{T}} \\ & \leq C \max_{1 \leq i+j \leq n} |c_{i,j}| \left(K(u,\tilde{u},v) \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{Y^{s}_{T}} + K(v,\tilde{v},\tilde{u}) \|v - \tilde{v}\|_{Y^{s}_{T}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.2, we have

(3.9)
$$||a_{T}(t)\{Q(u,v) - Q(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\}||_{X_{1}^{s-1}}$$

$$\leq ||a_{T}(t)Q(u-\tilde{u},v)||_{X_{1}^{s-1}} + ||a_{T}(t)Q(\tilde{u},v-\tilde{v})||_{X_{1}^{s-1}}$$

$$\leq CT' (||u-\tilde{u}||_{X^{s}}||v||_{X^{s}} + ||\tilde{u}||_{X^{s}}||v-\tilde{v}||_{X^{s}}).$$

Therefore the conclusion is obtained combining (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9). Similarly we can prove (3.6).

Proof of Proposition 1.2. We show that the nonlinear map M defined in (3.1) is a contraction mapping from $(X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s) \times (X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s)$ to itself for sufficiently small T > 0. That is, for any $(u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in (X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s) \times (X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s)$, we show that

$$(3.10) (M_1(u,v), M_2(u,v)) \in (X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s) \times (X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s)$$

and

$$\begin{split} (3.11) \quad \|M(u,v) - M(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\|_{X^s} + \|M(u,v) - M(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\|_{Y^s_T} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(u,v) - (\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\|_{X^s} + \frac{1}{2} \|(u,v) - (\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\|_{Y^s_T}. \end{split}$$

The contraction mapping principle yields from (3.10) and (3.11) that there is a fixed point of $(X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s) \times (X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s)$. This gives the solution of (1.1).

It is obvious that $M_1(u,v)|_{t=0} = u_0(x)$ and $\partial_t M_1(u,v)|_{t=0} = u_1(x)$. So we have that $||M_1(u,v)|_{t=0}||_{H^s} + ||\partial_t M_1(u,v)|_{t=0}||_{H^{s-1}} \le \rho/8$ for $(u,v) \in (X_\rho^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s) \times (X_\rho^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s)$. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\|\Box M_1(u,v)\|_{X_1^{s-1}} \le CT' \sum_{0 \le j+k \le n} \rho^{j+k+2}$$

and

$$||M_1(u,v)||_{Y_T^s} \le CT' \sum_{0 \le j+k \le n} \rho^{j+k+2} + \frac{3\rho}{8}.$$

If we take sufficiently small T > 0, we obtain $M_1(u, v) \in X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho, T}^s$. Similarly, we obtain $M_2(u, v) \in X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho, T}^s$. Hence we have (3.10).

Similarly, we can prove (3.11) for sufficiently small T > 0 by Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3.

§4. Propagation of Singularities

Let $\varphi(x)$ be a C_0^{∞} function satisfying $\varphi \equiv 1$ near x_0 and $\chi(\tau, \xi)$ be smooth, homogeneous of degree 0 in $|(\tau, \xi)| > \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, with conic support, $\chi \equiv 1$ on conic neighborhood of (τ_0, ξ_0) . We consider the operator P with its symbol $p(t, x, \tau, \xi) = \varphi(x + (\xi/\tau)t)\chi(\tau, \xi)$, which is defined by

$$(4.1) Pf = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(t, x, \tau, \xi) \hat{f}(\tau, \xi) e^{i(t\tau + x\xi)} d\tau d\xi.$$

Simple calculation yields that the symbol of the commutator $[\Box, P] = \Box P - P \Box$ is $(\xi^2/\tau^2 - 1)\varphi''(x + (\xi/\tau)t)\chi$, which is a symbol of pseudo differential operator of order 0.

In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we multiply the operator P to the both sides of the equations (1.1) and use the energy estimates. We prepare several lemmas to prove the main theorem. The following well-known result will be used frequently.

Lemma 4.1. (Rauch and Reed [21]) Suppose that $G(\xi, \eta)$ may be decomposed into finitely many pieces, i.e., $G = \sum_i G_i(\xi, \eta)$, each of which satisfies either

(4.2)
$$\sup_{\xi} \int |G_i|^2 d\eta < \infty \quad or \quad \sup_{\eta} \int |G_i|^2 d\xi < \infty.$$

If $f,g \in L^2$ and $h(\xi) = \int G(\xi,\eta) f(\xi-\eta) g(\eta) d\eta$, then we have $||h||_{L^2} \le C||f||_{L^2} ||g||_{L^2}$.

Lemma 4.2. Let P be the operator defined in (4.1). Suppose that $f, g, h \in H^s$, $\Box f, \Box g \in H^{s-1}$ and $3/2 < s \le 2$. Then, for any $0 \le \epsilon < s - 1$, we have

$$[P, h(t, x)(\partial_t + \partial_x)f(\partial_t - \partial_x)](\partial_t \pm \partial_x)g \in H^{s-2+\epsilon}$$

and

$$[P, h(t, x)(\partial_t - \partial_x)f(\partial_t + \partial_x)](\partial_t \pm \partial_x)g \in H^{s-2+\epsilon}$$

Remark 4.3. To estimate for K defined in the following proof, the inequality

(4.3)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+|x-\alpha|)^r (1+|x-\beta|)^r} dx \le \frac{C}{(1+|\alpha-\beta|)^r} \quad for \quad r > 1$$

is used frequently.

Proof. Assume that p depends only on τ and ξ (the general case requires some obvious modifications). Let $f_{\pm} = (\partial_t \pm \partial_x) f$ and $g_{\pm} = (\partial_t \pm \partial_x) g$. For simplicity, we put $\eta = (\tau, \xi)$, $\eta' = (\tau', \xi')$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{t,x} [[P, (hf_{-})(\partial_{t} + \partial_{x})]g_{+}]$$

$$= ip(\eta) \int \widehat{hf_{-}}(\eta') \{ (\tau - \tau') + (\xi - \xi') \} \widehat{g_{+}}(\eta - \eta') d\eta'$$

$$- i \int \widehat{hf_{-}}(\eta') \{ (\tau - \tau') + (\xi - \xi') \} p(\eta - \eta') \widehat{g_{+}}(\eta - \eta') d\eta'$$

$$= i \int \widehat{hf_{-}}(\eta') \{ p(\eta) - p(\eta - \eta') \} \{ (\tau - \tau') + (\xi - \xi') \} \widehat{g_{+}}(\eta - \eta') d\eta'.$$

Write $\theta_1(\eta) = \{\langle \eta \rangle^{s-1} (1 + |\tau + \xi|)\} \widehat{hf_-}(\eta)$ and $\theta_2(\eta) = \{\langle \eta \rangle^{s-1} (1 + |\tau - \xi|)\} \widehat{g_+}(\eta)$, then simple calculation yields that $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in L^2$. Thus

$$\langle \eta \rangle^{s-2+\epsilon} \mathcal{F}_{t,x} \left[[P, (hf_-)(\partial_t + \partial_x)] g_+ \right] = \int K(\eta, \eta') \theta_1(\eta') \theta_2(\eta - \eta') d\eta',$$

where

$$|K(\eta, \eta')| = \frac{\langle \eta \rangle^{s-2+\epsilon} |p(\eta) - p(\eta - \eta')| \cdot |(\tau - \tau') + (\xi - \xi')|}{\langle \eta' \rangle^{s-1} \langle \eta - \eta' \rangle^{s-1} (1 + |\tau' + \xi'|) (1 + |(\tau - \tau') - (\xi - \xi')|)}.$$

By Lemma 4.1, it suffices to divide K into finitely many pieces K_i such that

(4.4)
$$\sup_{\eta'} \int |K_i|^2 d\eta < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \sup_{\eta} \int |K_i|^2 d\eta' < \infty.$$

(i) For
$$|\eta'| \ge |\eta|/2$$
, $|\eta - \eta'| \ge |\eta|/2$ and $\frac{|\tau - \tau' + \xi - \xi'|}{2} \le |\tau' + \xi'|$,

$$|K| \le \frac{C}{\langle \eta \rangle^{s-\epsilon} (1 + |(\tau - \tau') - (\xi - \xi')|)}.$$

(ii) For
$$|\eta'| \ge |\eta|/2$$
, $|\eta - \eta'| \ge |\eta|/2$ and $\frac{|\tau - \tau' + \xi - \xi'|}{2} \le |\tau + \xi|$,

$$|K| \leq \frac{C}{\langle \eta' \rangle^{s-1-\epsilon} (1+|\tau'+\xi'|)(1+|(\tau-\tau')-(\xi-\xi')|)}.$$

(iii) For
$$|\eta'| \ge |\eta|/2$$
, $|\eta - \eta'| \le |\eta|/2$ and $\frac{|\tau - \tau' + \xi - \xi'|}{2} \le |(\tau - \tau') - (\xi - \xi')|$,

$$|K| \le \frac{C}{\langle \eta - \eta' \rangle^{s - \epsilon} (1 + |\tau' + \xi'|)}.$$

(iv) For
$$|\eta'| \ge |\eta|/2$$
, $|\eta - \eta'| \le |\eta|/2$ and $\frac{|\tau - \tau' + \xi - \xi'|}{2} \le 2|\xi - \xi'|$,

$$|K| \leq \frac{C}{\langle \eta - \eta' \rangle^{s-1-\epsilon} (1 + |\tau' + \xi'|) (1 + |(\tau - \tau') - (\xi - \xi')|)}.$$

(v) For $|\eta'| < |\eta|/2$ and $\frac{|\tau - \tau' + \xi - \xi'|}{2} \le |\tau' + \xi'|$, thus $|p(\eta) - p(\eta - \eta')| \le C\langle \eta' \rangle / \langle \eta \rangle$,

$$|K| \leq \frac{C}{\langle \eta' \rangle^{s-\epsilon} (1 + |(\tau - \tau') - (\xi - \xi')|)}.$$

(vi) For $|\eta'| < |\eta|/2$ and $\frac{|\tau - \tau' + \xi - \xi'|}{2} \le |\tau + \xi|$, thus $|p(\eta) - p(\eta - \eta')| \le C\langle \eta' \rangle / \langle \eta \rangle$,

$$|K| \le \frac{C}{\langle \eta' \rangle^{s-1-\epsilon} (1+|\tau'+\xi'|)(1+|(\tau-\tau')-(\xi-\xi')|)}.$$

Therefore, in all the cases, (4.4) holds since $\epsilon < s - 1$. Here, we used Hölder's inequality and (4.3) in the case of (ii), (iv) and (vi). It is similar in the case of $[P, (hf_-)(\partial_t + \partial_x)]g_-$, $[P, (hf_+)(\partial_t - \partial_x)]g_+$ and $[P, (hf_+)(\partial_t - \partial_x)]g_-$.

Proposition 4.4. Let $3/2 < s \le 2$. Suppose that (u, v) is a solution of the initial value problem (1.1) in $(X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s) \times (X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s)$ for some T > 0 with $u_0(x), v_0(x) \in H^s$ and $u_1(x), v_1(x) \in H^{s-1}$. Then $a_T(t)Q(u, v) \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where Q(u, v) stands either $Q_0(u, v)$ or $Q_1(u, v)$.

Proof. Because of the fact that

$$||a_T(t)Q_0(u,v)||_{H^{s-1}} \le ||a_T(t)Q_0(u,v)||_{X_1^{s-1}} + ||\langle D_x \rangle^{s-2} \langle D_t \rangle a_T(t)Q_0(u,v)||_{L^2_{t,x}}$$

and the fact that $||a_T(t)Q_0(u,v)||_{X_1^{s-1}} < \infty$ from Proposition 2.2, it suffices to show that

$$\|\langle D_x \rangle^{s-2} \langle D_t \rangle a_T(t) Q_0(u,v) \|_{L^2_{t,r}} < \infty.$$

For the solution u and v of (1.1), we write $u = f_0 + \widetilde{u}$ and $v = g_0 + \widetilde{v}$, where

$$f_0(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \{ u_0(x+t) + u_0(x-t) \} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} u_1(y) dy,$$

$$g_0(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \{ v_0(x+t) + v_0(x-t) \} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} v_1(y) dy,$$

$$\widetilde{u} = \int_0^t U(t-\alpha) a_T(t) A(u,v;\alpha,x) d\alpha$$

and

$$\widetilde{v} = \int_0^t U(t-\beta)a_T(t)B(u,v;\beta,x)d\beta.$$

Then we have $Q_0(u,v) = Q_0(f_0,g_0) + Q_0(f_0,\widetilde{v}) + Q_0(g_0,\widetilde{u}) + Q_0(\widetilde{u},\widetilde{v})$. We show that only

since the other terms can be estimated similarly. From Leibniz rule and the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \langle D_x \rangle^{s-2} \langle D_t \rangle a_T(t) Q_0(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}) \right\|_{L^2_{t,x}} \\ & \leq \left\| a_T(t) Q_0(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}) \right\|_{X^{s-1}_1} + \left\| \langle D_x \rangle^{s-2} (D_t a_T(t)) Q_0(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}) \right\|_{L^2_{t,x}} \\ & + \left\| \langle D_x \rangle^{s-2} a_T(t) (D_t Q_0(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v})) \right\|_{L^2_{t,x}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since Proposition 2.2 shows that

$$\|a_T(t)Q_0(\widetilde{u},\widetilde{v})\|_{X_1^{s-1}}<\infty \quad and \quad \left\|\langle D_x\rangle^{s-2}(D_ta_T(t))Q_0(\widetilde{u},\widetilde{v})\right\|_{L^2_{t,x}}<\infty,$$

we only show

$$\|\langle D_x \rangle^{s-2} a_T(t) (D_t Q_0(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v})) \|_{L^2_{t,r}} < \infty.$$

For simplicity, we write $A(u, v; \alpha, x) = A(\alpha, x)$, $B(u, v; \alpha, x) = B(\alpha, x)$. From (2.1), we have

$$Q_0(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}) = 2 \int_0^t \int_0^t a_T(\alpha) a_T(\beta) \{ A(\alpha, x + t - \alpha) B(\beta, x - t + \beta) + A(\alpha, x - t + \alpha) B(\beta, x + t - \beta) \} d\alpha d\beta$$

and

$$\partial_t Q_0(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}) = 2 \int_0^t \int_0^t a_T(\alpha) a_T(\beta) \partial_t \{ A(\alpha, x + t - \alpha) B(\beta, x - t + \beta) + A(\alpha, x - t + \alpha) B(\beta, x + t - \beta) \} d\alpha d\beta + 2a_T(t) (B(t, x) \partial_t \widetilde{u} + A(t, x) \partial_t \widetilde{v}).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \langle D_x \rangle^{s-2} a_T(t) \int_0^t \int_0^t a_T(\alpha) a_T(\beta) \partial_t \{ A(\alpha, x+t-\alpha) B(\beta, x-t+\beta) \} d\alpha d\beta \right\|_{L^2_{t,x}} \\ & \leq \int_0^T \int_0^T a_T(\alpha) a_T(\beta) \left\| \langle D_x \rangle^{s-2} a_T(t) \partial_t A(\alpha, x+t-\alpha) B(\beta, x-t+\beta) \right\|_{L^2_{t,x}} d\alpha d\beta \\ & \leq \int_0^T \int_0^T a_T(\alpha) a_T(\beta) \left\| \langle D_x \rangle^{s-2} \partial_t \{ a_T(t) A(\alpha, x+t-\alpha) B(\beta, x-t+\beta) \} \right\|_{L^2_{t,x}} d\alpha d\beta \\ & + \int_0^T \int_0^T a_T(\alpha) a_T(\beta) \left\| \langle D_x \rangle^{s-2} (\partial_t a_T(t)) A(\alpha, x+t-\alpha) B(\beta, x-t+\beta) \} \right\|_{L^2_{t,x}} d\alpha d\beta \\ & \equiv I_1 + I_2. \end{split}$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we have

$$I_1 \le C_1 \int_0^T \int_0^T \|A(\alpha, \cdot)\|_{H^{s-1}} \|B(\alpha, \cdot)\|_{H^{s-1}} d\alpha d\beta \le C \|A\|_{X_1^{s-1}} \|B\|_{X_1^{s-1}}$$

and

$$I_2 \leq C_2 \int_0^T \int_0^T \|A(\alpha,\cdot)\|_{H^{s-1}} \|B(\alpha,\cdot)\|_{H^{s-1}} d\alpha d\beta \leq C \|A\|_{X_1^{s-1}} \|B\|_{X_1^{s-1}},$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants depending on s and a_T . Since

$$\partial_t \widetilde{u} = \int_0^t a_T(\alpha) \{ A(\alpha, x + t - \alpha) + A(\alpha, x - t + \alpha) \} d\alpha$$

and

$$\partial_t \widetilde{v} = \int_0^t a_T(\beta) \{ B(\beta, x + t - \beta) + B(\beta, x - t + \beta) \} d\beta,$$

the same calculation as the above yields

$$\|\langle D_x \rangle^{s-2} a_T(t) \partial_t \widetilde{u} B(t, x) \|_{L^2_{t, x}} \le C \|A\|_{X_1^{s-1}} \|B\|_{Y_T^s}$$

and

$$\|\langle D_x \rangle^{s-2} a_T(t) \partial_t \widetilde{v} A(t,x) \|_{L^2_{t,x}} \le C \|B\|_{X_1^{s-1}} \|A\|_{Y_T^s}.$$

Therefore the proof is completed.

Lemma 4.5. Let $3/2 < s \le 2$. Suppose that P is the operator defined in (4.1) and (u, v) is a solution of the initial value problem (1.1) in $(X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s) \times (X_{\rho}^s \cap Y_{\rho,T}^s)$

 $Y_{\rho,T}^s$) for some T > 0. If $0 < \delta < T$ and $0 \le \epsilon < s-1$, then, for $|t| < T-\delta$, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon} \left(P(\partial_{t} \pm \partial_{x}) h(u,v) Q(u,v) \right) (t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}$$

$$\leq C_{1}(\|u\|_{X^{s}}, \|v\|_{X^{s}}, \|u\|_{Y_{T}^{s}}, \|v\|_{Y_{T}^{s}}) \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-1+\epsilon} Pu_{\pm}(t-\tilde{t},x) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}$$

$$+ C_{2}(\|u\|_{X^{s}}, \|v\|_{X^{s}}, \|u\|_{Y_{T}^{s}}, \|v\|_{Y_{T}^{s}}) \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-1+\epsilon} Pv_{\pm}(t-\tilde{t},x) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}$$

$$+ C_{3}(\|u\|_{X^{s}}, \|v\|_{X^{s}}, \|u\|_{Y_{T}^{s}}, \|v\|_{Y_{T}^{s}}),$$

where $u_{\pm} = (\partial_t \pm \partial_x)u$, $v_{\pm} = (\partial_t \pm \partial_x)v$, $C_i(\|u\|_{X^s}, \|v\|_{X^s}, \|u\|_{Y^s_T}, \|v\|_{Y^s_T})$ (i = 1, 2, 3) are constants depending on $\|u\|_{X^s}$, $\|v\|_{X^s}$, $\|v\|_{Y^s_T}$ and $\|v\|_{Y^s_T}$ and Q(u, v) stands either $Q_0(u, v)$ or $Q_1(u, v)$.

Proof. It is enough to consider only the case $Q(u,v) = Q_0(u,v)$. Let $b(\tilde{t}) \in C_0^{\infty}$ with supp $b \subset \{|\tilde{t}| < T\}$ and $b \equiv 1$ for $|\tilde{t}| \le \delta + \delta'$, where δ' is a positive constant satisfying $|t| < \delta' < T - \delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon} \left(P(\partial_{t} \pm \partial_{x}) h(u,v) Q_{0}(u,v) \right) (t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \\ &= \left\| b(t-\tilde{t}) a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon} \left(P(\partial_{t} \pm \partial_{x}) h(u,v) Q_{0}(u,v) \right) (t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \\ &\leq \left\| b(t-\tilde{t}) a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon} \left(Ph_{1} u_{\pm} Q_{0}(u,v) \right) (t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \\ &+ \left\| b(t-\tilde{t}) a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon} \left(Ph_{2} v_{\pm} Q_{0}(u,v) \right) (t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \\ &+ \left\| b(t-\tilde{t}) a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon} \left(PhQ(u,v_{\pm}) \right) (t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \\ &+ \left\| b(t-\tilde{t}) a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon} \left(PhQ(u_{\pm},v) \right) (t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

as long as $|t| < \delta'$, where h_j (j = 1, 2) are partial derivatives of h. Here, we have with [A, B] = AB - BA,

$$(4.8) b(t-\tilde{t})a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}(Ph_{1}u_{\pm}Q_{0}(u,v))(t-\tilde{t})$$

$$= [b(t-\tilde{t})a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}),\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}](Ph_{1}u_{\pm}Q_{0}(u,v))(t-\tilde{t})$$

$$+ \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})[b(t-\tilde{t}),P](h_{1}Q_{0}(u,v)u_{\pm})(t-\tilde{t})$$

$$+ \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})[P,(bh_{1}Q_{0}(u,v))(t-\tilde{t})]u_{\pm}(t-\tilde{t})$$

$$+ \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})(h_{1}bQ_{0}(u,v))(t-\tilde{t})(Pu_{\pm})(t-\tilde{t}).$$

Since $[b(t-\tilde{t})a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}), \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}]$ and [b,P] are of order $s-3+\epsilon$ and -1 respectively and $fgh \in H^{3s-5-\nu}$ for $f,g,h \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and any $\nu > 0$, thus for the first and second terms of the right hand side of (4.8), we have

$$\left\| [b(t-\tilde{t})a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}), \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}] (Ph_1u_{\pm}Q_0(u,v))(t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L^2_{\tilde{t},x}} < \infty$$

and

$$\left\| \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon} a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})([b(t-\tilde{t}),P]h_1 Q_0(u,v)u_{\pm})(t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^2} < \infty.$$

In the same way, as the proof of Lemma 4.2, for the third term of the right hand side of (4.8) we have

$$\left\| \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon} a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})([P,(bh_1Q_0(u,v))(t-\tilde{t})]u_{\pm})(t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^2} < \infty.$$

Now, we estimate the forth term of (4.8). For simplicity, we put $\eta = (\tilde{\tau}, \xi)$ and $\eta' = (\tilde{\tau}', \xi')$. Then

$$\begin{split} \langle \eta \rangle^{s-2+\epsilon} \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{t},x} \left[(h_1 b Q_0(u,v))(t-\tilde{t}) a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) P u_{\pm}(t-\tilde{t}) \right] (\eta) \\ &= \int K_1(\eta,\eta') \theta_t^{(1)}(\eta') \theta_t^{(2)}(\eta-\eta') d\eta', \end{split}$$

where $\theta_t^{(1)}(\eta) = \langle \eta \rangle^{s-1} \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{t},x}[h_1 b Q_0(u,v)(t-\tilde{t})], \ \theta_t^{(2)}(\eta) = \langle \eta \rangle^{s-1+\epsilon} \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{t},x}[a_\delta(\tilde{t}) P u_\pm(t-\tilde{t})]$ and $|K_1(\eta,\eta')| = \frac{\langle \eta \rangle^{s-2+\epsilon}}{\langle \eta' \rangle^{s-1} \langle \eta - \eta' \rangle^{s-1+\epsilon}}$. By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}(h_{1}bQ_{0}(u,v))(t-\tilde{t})a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})(Pu_{\pm})(t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \\ & \leq C \|\theta_{t}^{(1)}\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \|\theta_{t}^{(2)}\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \\ & \leq C' \|h_{1}bQ_{0}(u,v)\|_{H^{s-1}} \\ & \times \left(\left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-1+\epsilon}Pu_{\pm}(t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} + \left\| [\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-1+\epsilon},a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})]Pu_{\pm}(t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \right) \end{split}$$

as long as $0 \le \epsilon < s - 1$. Thus we obtain

Since $Q_0(u, v_{\pm}) = 1/2\{u_+(\partial_t - \partial_x)v_{\pm} + u_-(\partial_t + \partial_x)v_{\pm}\}$, we have

$$(4.10) \quad 2 \left\| b(t-\tilde{t})a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon} \left(PhQ(u,v_{\pm}) \right) (t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}$$

$$\leq \left\| b(t-\tilde{t})a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon} \left(Phu_{+}(\partial_{t}-\partial_{x})v_{\pm} \right) (t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}$$

$$+ \left\| b(t-\tilde{t})a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon} \left(Phu_{-}(\partial_{t}+\partial_{x})v_{\pm} \right) (t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}.$$

In the same way as the estimates of (4.8), we have

$$(4.11) \qquad b(t-\tilde{t})a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon} \left(Phu_{+}(\partial_{t}-\partial_{x})v_{\pm}\right)(t-\tilde{t})$$

$$= \left[b(t-\tilde{t})a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}),\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}\right] \left(Phu_{+}(\partial_{t}-\partial_{x})v_{\pm}\right)(t-\tilde{t})$$

$$+ \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})(\left[b(t-\tilde{t}),P\right]hu_{+}(\partial_{t}-\partial_{x})v_{\pm})(t-\tilde{t})$$

$$+ \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\left[P,b(t-\tilde{t})hu_{+}(\partial_{t}-\partial_{x})\right]v_{\pm}$$

$$- \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}(bhu_{+})(t-\tilde{t})\left[a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}),\partial_{\tilde{t}}+\partial_{x}\right](Pv_{\pm})(t-\tilde{t})$$

$$- \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}(bu_{+}h)(t-\tilde{t})(\partial_{\tilde{t}}+\partial_{x})a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})(Pv_{\pm})(t-\tilde{t})$$

and first, second and fourth terms of the right hand side of (4.11) are in $L^2_{\tilde{t},x}$. By Lemma 4.2, third term is in $L^2_{\tilde{t},x}$. Now, we estimate the fifth term of (4.11). Putting $\theta_t^{(3)}(\eta) = \langle \eta \rangle^{s-1} (1 + |\tilde{\tau} - \xi|) \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{t},x}[(bhu_+)(t - \tilde{t})], \ \theta_t^{(4)}(\eta) = \langle \eta \rangle^{s-1+\epsilon} \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{t},x}[a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})Pv_{\pm}(t - \tilde{t})]$ and

$$|K_2(\eta, \eta')| = \frac{\langle \eta \rangle^{s-2+\epsilon} |\tilde{\tau}' + \xi'|}{\langle \eta' \rangle^{s-1} \langle \eta - \eta' \rangle^{s-1+\epsilon} (1 + |(\tilde{\tau} - \tilde{\tau}') - (\xi - \xi')|)},$$

we have

$$\langle \eta \rangle^{s-2+\epsilon} \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{t},x} \left[(bu_{+}h)(t-\tilde{t})(\partial_{\tilde{t}} + \partial_{x})a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})(Pv_{\pm})(t-\tilde{t}) \right] (\eta)$$

$$= \int K_{2}(\eta, \eta')\theta_{t}^{(3)}(\eta')\theta_{t}^{(4)}(\eta - \eta')d\eta'.$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}(bu_{+}h)(t-\tilde{t})(\partial_{\tilde{t}}+\partial_{x})a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})(Pv_{\pm})(t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \\ & \leq C \|\theta_{t}^{(3)}\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \|\theta_{t}^{(4)}\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \\ & \leq C \|h\|_{H^{s}} (\|u_{\pm}\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\partial_{\tilde{t}}b\,u_{+}\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|b(t)\Box u\|_{H^{s-1}}) \\ & \times \left(\left\|a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon}Pv_{\pm}(t-\tilde{t})\right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} + \left\|[\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{s-2+\epsilon},a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})]Pv_{\pm}(t-\tilde{t})\right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \right). \end{split}$$

Therefore we obtain

By (4.9) and (4.12), we have the conclusion.

Now, we prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since $(\partial_t + \partial_x)$ or $(\partial_t - \partial_x)$ is elliptic near Γ , it suffices to show that $(\partial_t \pm \partial_x)u$, $(\partial_t \pm \partial_x)v \in H^{r-1}_{ml}(\Gamma)$ for |t| < T. Let $u_{\pm} = (\partial_t \pm \partial_x)u$ and $v_{\pm} = (\partial_t \pm \partial_x)v$. Multiplying $(\partial_t \pm \partial_x)$ to the both sides of (1.1), we have

$$(4.13) \Box u_{+} = (\partial_{t} \pm \partial_{x}) A(u, v),$$

where

$$(4.14) \quad A(u,v) = h_1(u,v)Q_0(u,u) + h_2(u,v)Q_0(u,v) + h_3(u,v)Q_0(v,v) + h_4(u,v)Q_1(u,v).$$

Applying the operator P defined in (4.1) to the both sides of (4.13), We have

(4.15)
$$\Box P u_{\pm} = [\Box, P] u_{\pm} + P \Box u_{\pm}$$
$$= [\Box, P] u_{\pm} + P(\partial_t \pm \partial_x) A(u, v).$$

Let

$$E(t; u, v) \equiv \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t}, x}^{r-2} \partial_{\tilde{t}} P u_{\pm}(t - \tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t}, x}^{2}}^{2} + \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t}, x}^{r-2} \partial_{x} P u_{\pm}(t - \tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t}, x}^{2}}^{2} + \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t}, x}^{r-2} \partial_{\tilde{t}} P v_{\pm}(t - \tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t}, x}^{2}}^{2} + \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t}, x}^{r-2} \partial_{\tilde{t}} P v_{\pm}(t - \tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t}, x}^{2}}^{2} + \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t}, x}^{r-2} \partial_{\tilde{t}} P v_{\pm}(t - \tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t}, x}^{2}}^{2} + \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t}, x}^{r-2} P v_{\pm}(t - \tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t}, x}^{2}}^{2},$$

where $\Lambda = \langle D \rangle$ and $0 < \delta < T$. Let $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, $\int \varphi(x) dx = 1$, $\varphi_{\omega}(x) = \frac{1}{\omega} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\omega}\right)$ and put $u_0^{\omega} = \varphi_{\omega} * u_0$, $u_1^{\omega} = \varphi_{\omega} * u_1$, $v_0^{\omega} = \varphi_{\omega} * v_0$ and $v_1^{\omega} = \varphi_{\omega} * v_1$. Let (u_{ω}, v_{ω}) be a smooth solution of $u_{\omega} = f_0^{\omega}(t, x) + \int_0^t U(t - \alpha) a_T(\alpha) (\varphi_{\omega} * A(u_{\omega}, v_{\omega})) d\alpha$, $v_{\omega} = g_0^{\omega}(t, x) + \int_0^t U(t - \alpha) a_T(\alpha) (\varphi_{\omega} * B(u_{\omega}, v_{\omega})) d\alpha$ where $f_0^{\omega}(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \{u_0^{\omega}(x + t) + u_0^{\omega}(x - t)\} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} u_1^{\omega}(y) dy$, $g_0^{\omega}(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \{v_0^{\omega}(x + t) + v_0^{\omega}(x - t)\}$

 $(t) + v_0^{\omega}(x-t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} v_1^{\omega}(y) dy$. Using the same technique as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$||u-u_{\omega}||_{X^s} \to 0, \quad ||u-u_{\omega}||_{Y^s_{\sigma}} \to 0 \quad as \quad \omega \to 0.$$

Hence $||u_{\omega}||_{X^s} \leq C||u||_{X^s}$ and $||u_{\omega}||_{X^s} \leq C||v||_{X^s}$ for $0 < \forall \omega \leq 1$. Similarly, we have $||v_{\omega}||_{X^s} \leq C||u||_{X^s}$ and $||v_{\omega}||_{X^s} \leq C||v||_{X^s}$. By the calculus of pseudo differential operators and the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{dE(t;u_{\omega},v_{\omega})}{dt} \\ &= -\mathrm{Re}\langle a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}\Box Pu_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t}), a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}\partial_{\tilde{t}}(Pu_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t}))\rangle \\ &+ \mathrm{Re}\langle a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}\partial_{t}(Pu_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t})), a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}Pu_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t})\rangle \\ &- \mathrm{Re}\langle a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}\Box Pv_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t}), a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}\partial_{\tilde{t}}(Pv_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t}))\rangle \\ &+ \mathrm{Re}\langle a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}\partial_{t}(Pv_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t})), a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}Pv_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t})\rangle \\ &\leq \left\|a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}\partial_{t}(Pv_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t}))\right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \left\|a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}\partial_{\tilde{t}}(Pu_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t}))\right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \\ &+ \left\|a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}\partial_{t}(Pu_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t}))\right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \left\|a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}Pu_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t})\right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \\ &+ \left\|a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}\Box Pv_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t})\right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \left\|a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}\partial_{\tilde{t}}(Pv_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t}))\right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \\ &+ \left\|a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}\Box Pv_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t})\right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \left\|a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}Pv_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t})\right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}\Box Pu_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t})\right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left\|a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}\Box Pv_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t})\right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}^{2} \\ &+ 3E(t;u_{\omega},v_{\omega}), \end{split}$$

where $u_{\omega,\pm} = (\partial_t \pm \partial_x)u_{\omega}$, $v_{\omega,\pm} = (\partial_t \pm \partial_x)v_{\omega}$. By (4.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2} \Box P u_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}^{2} \\ &\leq \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2} [\Box, P] u_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}^{2} \\ &+ \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2} P(\partial_{t} \pm \partial_{x}) A(u_{\omega}, v_{\omega})(t-\tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since r < 2s - 1 and $[\Box, P]$ is of order 0,

$$\left\|a_{\delta}(\tilde{t})\Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2}[\Box,P]u_{\omega,\pm}(t-\tilde{t})\right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}^{2}<\infty.$$

By (4.14), Lemma 4.5 and the triangle inequality, we have

(4.16)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-2} P(\partial_{t} \pm \partial_{x}) A(u_{\omega}, v_{\omega})(t - \tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}^{2} \\ & \leq \left(C_{1} \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-1} Pu_{\omega,\pm}(t - \tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}^{+} C_{2} \left\| a_{\delta}(\tilde{t}) \Lambda_{\tilde{t},x}^{r-1} Pv_{\omega,\pm}(t - \tilde{t}) \right\|_{L_{\tilde{t},x}^{2}}^{+} C_{3} \right)^{2} \\ & \leq C_{4} E(t; u_{\omega}, v_{\omega}) + C_{5} \end{aligned}$$

for $\delta < T$, $|t| < T - \delta$ and r < 2s - 1, where C_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) are positive constants depending on $||u||_{X^s}$, $||v||_{X^s}$, $||u||_{Y^s_x}$ and $||v||_{Y^s_x}$. Therefore we obtain

$$\frac{dE(t; u_{\omega}, v_{\omega})}{dt} \le C_1' E(t; u_{\omega}, v_{\omega}) + C_2'.$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$E(t, u_{\omega}, v_{\omega}) \le e^{C'_1 t} \left\{ E(0, u_{\omega}, v_{\omega}) + \frac{C'_2}{C'_1} (1 - e^{-C'_1 t}) \right\}.$$

Taking the limit of the above inequality as $\omega \longrightarrow 0$, we have

$$E(t; u, v) \le e^{C_1't} \left\{ E(0, u, v) + \frac{C_2'}{C_1'} (1 - e^{-C_1't}) \right\} < \infty$$

since $E(0; u, v) < \infty$. Hence $Pu_{\pm} \in H^{r-1}$ for $|t| < T - \delta$. If we take sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, then we have $Pu_{\pm} \in H^{r-1}$ for |t| < T and r < 2s - 1. Hence we have $u_{\pm} \in H^{r-1}_{ml}(\Gamma)$ for |t| < T. Similarly, we have $v_{\pm} \in H^{r-1}_{ml}(\Gamma)$ for |t| < T. Therefore we have $(u, v) \in H^r_{ml}(\Gamma) \times H^r_{ml}(\Gamma)$ for |t| < T and r < 2s - 1.

References

- [1] R. Agemi and K. Yokoyama, The null conditions and global existence of solutions to systems of wave equations with different propagation speeds, in Advances in nonlinear partial differential equations and stochastics, edited by S. Kawashima and T. Yanagisawa, Series on Adv. Math. for Appl.Sci., Vol.48, World Scientific, 1998, 43-86.
- [2] S. Alinhac, The null condition for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions I, Invent. Math. 145 (2001), no. 3, 597-618.
- [3] M. Beals, Spreading of singularities for a semilinear wave equation, Duke Math. J. 49 (1982), 275-286.

- [4] M. Beals, Self-spreading and strength of singularities for solutions to semilinear wave equations, Annals of Math. 118 (1983), 187-214.
- [5] M. Beals, Propagation of Smoothness for Nonlinear Second-Order Strictly Hyperbolic Differential Equations, Proc. Symp. Pure. Math. 43 (1985), 21-44.
- [6] M. Beals, and M. Reed, Propagation of singularities for hyperbolic pseudo differential operators with non-smooth coefficients, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 35 (1982), 169-184.
- [7] J. M. Bony, Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux derivées partielles nonlinéaires, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 14 (1981), 209-246.
- [8] K. Hidano, The global existence theorem for quasi-linear wave equations with multiple speeds, Hokkaido Mathematical Journal, Vol. 33 (2004), 607-636.
- [9] L. Hörmander, *Linear differential operators*, Actes. Congr. Inter. Math. Nice **1** (1970), 121-133.
- [10] A. Hoshiga and H. Kubo, Global small amplitude solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic systems with a critical exponent under the null condition, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **31** (2000), 486-513.
- [11] S. Ito, Propagation of singularities for semilinear wave equation with nonlinearity satisfying null condition, SUT Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 41, No.2 (2005), 197-204.
- [12] S. Ito, Propagation of singularities for semi-linear wave equations with nonlinearity satisfying the null condition, J.Hyperbolic Differ.Equ. 4, no.2 (2007), 197-205.
- [13] S. Katayama, Global and almost-global existence for systems of nonlinear wave equations with different propagation speeds, Diff. Integral Eqs. 17 (2004), 1043-1078.
- [14] S. Klainerman, The null condition and global existence to nonlinear wave equations, Nonlinear systems of partial differential equations in applied mathematics, Part 1, Lectures in Appl. Math. 23, AMS, Providence, RI, (1986), 293-326
- [15] S. Klainerman and M. Machedon, Space-Time Estimates for Null Forms and the Local Existence Theorem, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 46 (1993), 1221-1268.
- [16] M. Kovalyov, Resonance-type behaviour in a system of nonlinear wave equations,
 J. Differential Equations 77 (1989), 73-83.
- [17] K. Kubota and K. Yokoyama, Global existence of classical solutions to systems of nonlinear wave equations with different speeds of propagation, Japanese J. Math. 27 (2001), 113-202.
- [18] L. Linqi, Propagation of singularities for semilinear hyperbolic equations, Canad. J.Math. Vol. 45 (4) (1993), 835-846.

- [19] Fayez H.Michael, Propagation of smoothness for systems of nonlinear second order strictly hyperbolic differential equations, Bull. Fac. Sci. Alex. Univ. Vol. 36, No.1 (1996), 41-46.
- [20] J. Rauch, Singularities of solutions to semilinear wave equations, J. Math. Pures et Appl. **58** (1979), 299-308.
- [21] J. Rauch and M. Reed, Nonlinear microlocal analysis of semilinear hyperbolic systems in one space dimension, Duke Math.J. 49 (1982), 397-475
- [22] M. Reed, Propagation of Singularities for Nonlinear Wave Equations in One Dimension, Comm. P.D.E, (3), (1978), 153-199.
- [23] T. C. Sideris and Shun-Yi Tu, Global existence for systems of nonlinear wave equations in 3D with multiple speeds, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 33 (2001), 477-488.

Shingo Ito

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science Wakamiya 26, Shinjuku, Tokyo 162-0827, Japan *E-mail*:j1104702@ed.kagu.tus.ac.jp

Keiichi Kato

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science Wakamiya 26, Shinjuku, Tokyo 162-0827, Japan *E-mail*:kato@ma.kagu.tus.ac.jp