

## Polynomial realization of sequential codes over finite fields

Manabu Matsuoka

(Received April 5, 2011; Revised January 24, 2012)

**Abstract.** In this paper we study the relation between polycyclic codes and sequential codes over finite fields. It is shown that, for a sequential code  $C \subseteq \mathbf{F}^n$ ,  $C$  is realized as an ideal in the quotient ring of the polynomial ring. Furthermore, we characterize the dual codes of polycyclic codes.

*AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 94B60; Secondary 94B15, 16D25.

*Key words and phrases.* Polycyclic codes, sequential codes, finite fields.

### §1. Introduction

In coding theory, a linear code of length  $n$  over a finite field  $\mathbf{F}$  is a subspace  $C$  of the vector space  $\mathbf{F}^n = \{(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) | a_i \in \mathbf{F}\}$ . A linear code  $C \subseteq \mathbf{F}^n$  is called cyclic if  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in C$  implies  $(a_{n-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}) \in C$ . The notion of cyclicity has been generalized in several ways.

For a code  $C \subseteq \mathbf{F}^n$ ,  $C$  is a sequential code induced by  $c$  if there exists a vector  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{F}^n$  such that for every  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in C$ ,  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_0c_0 + a_1c_1 + \dots + a_{n-1}c_{n-1}) \in C$ . S. R. López-Permouth, B. R. Parra-Avila and S. Szabo studied the duality between polycyclic codes and sequential codes in [2]. Polycyclic codes and sequential codes are generalized using skew polynomial rings. That is,  $\theta$ -polycyclic codes and  $\theta$ -sequential codes. The properties of them were considered in [3].

By the way, Y. Hirano characterized finite Frobenius rings in [1]. And J. A. Wood established the extension theorem and MacWilliams identities over finite Frobenius rings in [5]. Polycyclic codes and sequential codes over finite commutative QF rings were considered in [4].

In this paper, we study the relation between polycyclic codes and sequential codes. And we realize sequential codes as ideals in quotient rings of polynomial

rings. In section 2 we review properties of polycyclic codes and sequential codes over finite field. In section 3 we prove that, for a polycyclic code  $C$ , its dual  $C^\perp$  is realized as an ideal in the quotient ring of the polynomial ring.

Throughout this paper,  $\mathbf{F}$  denotes a finite field with  $1 \neq 0$ ,  $n$  denotes a natural number with  $n \geq 2$ ,  $(g)$  denotes an ideal generated by  $g \in \mathbf{F}[X]$ , unless otherwise stated.

## §2. Polycyclic codes and sequential codes

A linear  $[n, k]$ -code over a finite field  $\mathbf{F}$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $C \subseteq \mathbf{F}^n$ . We define polycyclic codes over a finite field.

**Definition 1.** *Let  $C$  be a linear code of length  $n$  over  $\mathbf{F}$ .  $C$  is a (right) polycyclic code induced by  $c$  if there exists a vector  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{F}^n$  such that for every  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in C$ ,*

$$(0, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}) + a_{n-1}(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in C.$$

*In this case we call  $c$  an associated vector of  $C$ .*

As cyclic codes, polycyclic codes may be understood in terms of ideals in quotient rings of polynomial rings. Given  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{F}^n$ , if we let  $f(X) = X^n - c(X)$ , where  $c(X) = c_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + c_1X + c_0$  then the  $\mathbf{F}$ -linear isomorphism  $\rho : \mathbf{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{F}[X]/(f(X))$  sending the vector  $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  to the polynomial  $a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + a_1X + a_0$ , allows us to identify the polycyclic codes induced by  $c$  with the left ideal of  $\mathbf{F}[X]/(f(X))$ .

Let  $C$  be a polycyclic code in  $\mathbf{F}[X]/(f(X))$ . Then there exists monic polynomials  $g$  and  $h$  such that  $C = (g)/(f)$  and  $f = hg$ .

**Proposition 1.** *A code  $C \subseteq \mathbf{F}^n$  is a polycyclic code induced by some  $c \in C$  if and only if it has a  $k \times n$  generator matrix of the form*

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} g_0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_{n-k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & g_0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_{n-k} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & g_0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}$$

*with  $g_{n-k} \neq 0$ . In this case  $\rho(C) = \left( \overline{g_{n-k}X^{n-k} + \dots + g_1X + g_0} \right)$  is an ideal of  $\mathbf{F}[X]/(f(X))$ .*

*Proof.* See [2, Theorem 2.3]. □

For a  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{F}^n$ , let  $D$  be the following square matrix

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 1 \\ c_0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that a code  $C \subseteq \mathbf{F}^n$  is polycyclic with an associated vector  $c \in \mathbf{F}^n$  if and only if it is invariant under right multiplication by  $D$ .

Next we define a sequential code.

**Definition 2.** Let  $C$  be a linear code of length  $n$  over  $\mathbf{F}$ .  $C$  is a (right) sequential code induced by  $c$  if there exists a vector  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{F}^n$  such that for every  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in C$ ,

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_0c_0 + a_1c_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1}c_{n-1}) \in C.$$

In this case we call  $c$  an associated vector of  $C$ .

Let  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{F}^n$ . Then, a code  $C \subseteq \mathbf{F}^n$  is sequential with an associated vector  $c \in \mathbf{F}^n$  if and only if it is invariant under right multiplication by the matrix

$${}^tD = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & c_0 \\ 1 & & c_1 \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & c_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

On  $\mathbf{F}^n$  define the standard inner product by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i y_i$$

for  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  and  $y = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$ .

The orthogonal of a linear code  $C$  is defined by

$$C^\perp = \{a \in \mathbf{F}^n \mid \langle c, a \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } c \in C\}.$$

It is well-known that  $\dim C^\perp = n - \dim C$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $C$  be a linear code of length  $n$ . Then  $C$  is a polycyclic (sequential) code if and only if  $C^\perp$  is a sequential (polycyclic) code.

*Proof.* See [2, Theorem 3.2]. □

### §3. Polynomial realization of sequential codes

We define  $\mathbf{F}$ -linear isomorphism  $\tau : \mathbf{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{F}[X]/(X^n - c_{n-1}X^{n-1} - \cdots - c_0)$  sending  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  to  $\overline{b_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1X + b_0}$  where  $b_i = a_{n-i-1} - a_{n-i-2}c_{n-1} - a_{n-i-3}c_{n-2} - \cdots - a_0c_{i+1}$ , ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ ) and  $b_{n-1} = a_0$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $C$  is a sequential code induced by  $c$ , then  $\tau(C)$  is an ideal of  $\mathbf{F}[X]/(X^n - c_{n-1}X^{n-1} - \cdots - c_0)$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $a \in C$ , we can get

$$\begin{aligned} X\tau(a) &= \overline{b_{n-1}X^n + b_{n-2}X^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1X^2 + b_0X} \\ &= \overline{(b_{n-2} + b_{n-1}c_{n-1})X^{n-1} + \cdots + (b_1 + b_{n-1}c_2)X^2 + (b_0 + b_{n-1}c_1)X + b_{n-1}c_0} \\ &= \tau(a^t D) \in \tau(C), \end{aligned}$$

directly. So  $\tau(C)$  is an ideal of  $\mathbf{F}[X]/(X^n - c_{n-1}X^{n-1} - \cdots - c_0)$ .  $\square$

By Theorem 1, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *For a sequential code  $C \subseteq \mathbf{F}^n$ , there exists monic polynomials  $g$  and  $h$  in  $\mathbf{F}[X]$  such that  $\tau(C) = (g)/(f)$  and  $f = hg$ .*

**Example 1.** For  $n = 5$ , let  $f(X) = X^5 - c_4X^4 - c_3X^3 - c_2X^2 - c_1X - c_0$ .  $\tau : \mathbf{F}^5 \rightarrow \mathbf{F}[X]/(f(X))$  sending  $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$  to  $b_4X^4 + b_3X^3 + b_2X^2 + b_1X + b_0$ , where

$$b_4 = a_0,$$

$$b_3 = a_1 - a_0c_4,$$

$$b_2 = a_2 - a_1c_4 - a_0c_3,$$

$$b_1 = a_3 - a_2c_4 - a_1c_3 - a_0c_2,$$

$$b_0 = a_4 - a_3c_4 - a_2c_3 - a_1c_2 - a_0c_1.$$

For a sequential code  $C \subseteq \mathbf{F}^5$ ,  $\tau(C)$  is an ideal of  $\mathbf{F}[X]/(f(X))$ .

**Lemma 3.** *For given  $c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbf{F}$ ,*

$$\text{Put } d_k = \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{l_1+\cdots+l_m=k} c_{n-l_1}c_{n-l_2}\cdots c_{n-l_m}, \quad (1 \leq k \leq n-1).$$

$$\text{Then } d_k = c_{n-k} + c_{n-k+1}d_1 + c_{n-k+2}d_2 + \cdots + c_{n-1}d_{k-1}, \quad (2 \leq k \leq n-1).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } d_k &= \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{l_1+\cdots+l_m=k} c_{n-l_1}c_{n-l_2}\cdots c_{n-l_m} \\ &= c_{n-k} + c_{n-k+1} \sum_{l_1=1} c_{n-l_1} + c_{n-k+2} \sum_{m=1}^2 \sum_{l_1+\cdots+l_m=2} (c_{n-l_1}\cdots c_{n-l_m}) + \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots + c_{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_{l_1+\cdots+l_m=k-1} (c_{n-l_1}\cdots c_{n-l_m}) \\ &= c_{n-k} + c_{n-k+1}d_1 + c_{n-k+2}d_2 + \cdots + c_{n-1}d_{k-1}, \quad (2 \leq k \leq n-1). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Example 2.** For given  $c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbf{F}$ ,

$$d_1 = c_{n-1},$$

$$d_2 = c_{n-2} + c_{n-1}^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_3 &= c_{n-3} + c_{n-2}c_{n-1} + c_{n-1}c_{n-2} + c_{n-1}^3 \\ &= c_{n-3} + 2c_{n-2}c_{n-1} + c_{n-1}^3, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_4 &= c_{n-4} + c_{n-3}c_{n-1} + c_{n-2}c_{n-2} + c_{n-1}c_{n-3} + c_{n-2}c_{n-1}^2 + c_{n-1}c_{n-2}c_{n-1} \\ &\quad + c_{n-1}^2c_{n-2} + c_{n-1}^4 \\ &= c_{n-4} + 2c_{n-3}c_{n-1} + c_{n-2}^2 + 3c_{n-2}c_{n-1}^2 + c_{n-1}^4. \end{aligned}$$

For given  $c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbf{F}$ , let  $M$  be the following square matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -c_1 & -c_2 & -c_3 & \cdots & -c_{n-1} & 1 \\ -c_2 & -c_3 & & & 1 & 0 \\ -c_3 & & & \cdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \cdots & & & \vdots \\ -c_{n-1} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 4.** For any  $c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbf{F}$ ,  $M^{-1}$  is given by the following matrix

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & & & 0 & 1 & d_1 \\ \vdots & & \cdots & 1 & d_1 & d_2 \\ \vdots & & \cdots & \cdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & d_1 & & & \vdots \\ 1 & d_1 & d_2 & \cdots & \cdots & d_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $d_k = \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{l_1+\dots+l_m=k} c_{n-l_1}c_{n-l_2}\cdots c_{n-l_m}$ , ( $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ).

*Proof.* Put

$$\begin{pmatrix} -c_1 & -c_2 & \cdots & -c_{n-1} & 1 \\ -c_2 & -c_3 & & 1 & 0 \\ -c_3 & & \cdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & & & \vdots \\ -c_{n-1} & 1 & \cdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & & \cdots & 1 & d_1 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & d_1 & d_2 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & & & \vdots \\ 1 & d_1 & \cdots & \cdots & d_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = (m_{ij}).$$

It is clear that  $m_{11} = \cdots = m_{nn} = 1$  and  $m_{ij} = 0$ ,  $(i > j)$ . By Lemma 3,  $m_{ij} = -c_{n-j+i} - c_{n-j+i+1}d_1 - c_{n-j+i+2}d_2 - \cdots - c_{n-1}d_{j-i-1} + d_{j-i} = 0$ ,  $(i < j)$ .  $\square$

Finally, we characterize the dual code  $C^\perp$  of a polycyclic code  $C$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $C \subseteq \mathbf{F}^n$  be a polycyclic code corresponding to  $(g)/(f) \subseteq \mathbf{F}[X]/(f(X))$  via  $\rho$  where  $f = hg$ . Then  $C^\perp$  is a sequential code such that  $\tau(C^\perp) = (h)/(f)$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $f(X) = X^n - c_{n-1}X^{n-1} - \cdots - c_1X - c_0$ ,  $h(X) = h_kX^k + \cdots + h_1X + h_0$  and  $g(X) = g_{n-k}X^{n-k} + \cdots + g_1X + g_0$ , where  $g_{n-k} \neq 0$  and  $h_k \neq 0$ . Let  $E$  be a linear subspace generated by  $\{\bar{h}, \overline{Xh}, \cdots, \overline{X_{n-k-1}h}\}$  in  $\mathbf{F}[X]/(f(X))$ . Suppose  $\tau(a_0, \cdots, a_{n-1}) = \overline{b_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1X + b_0}$ . Then  $(b_0, \cdots, b_{n-1}) = M(a_0, \cdots, a_{n-1})$ . By  $c_u = \sum_{s+t=u} g_s h_t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \rho^{-1}(X^i g), \tau^{-1}(X^j h) \rangle \\ &= \langle X^i g, M^{-1}(X^j h) \rangle \\ &= -c_{n-i-j-1} - c_{n-i-j}d_1 - c_{n-i-j+1}d_2 - \cdots - c_{n-1}d_{i+j} + d_{i+j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get  $\langle \rho^{-1}(X^i g), \tau^{-1}(X^j h) \rangle = 0$  by Lemma 3. Therefore  $E \subseteq C^\perp$ . Since  $E$  and  $C^\perp$  are the same dimension  $n - k$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  is a finite field, we get  $E = C^\perp$ .  $\square$

By Theorem 2, for a polycyclic code  $C$ ,  $C^\perp$  is represented by  $C^\perp = \tau^{-1}((h)/(f))$ .

In coding theory, the Hamming distance is very important. Thus we have the following problem.

**Problem 1.** *Study the relation of the Hamming distance between  $C$  and  $\tau(C)$  for a sequential code  $C$ .*

**Acknowledgement.** The author wishes to thank Prof. Y. Hirano, Naruto University of Education, for his helpful suggestions and valuable comments.

## References

- [1] Y. Hirano, *On admissible rings*, Indagationes Mathematicae, Volume 8, Issue 1 (1997), 55-59.
- [2] S. R. López-Permouth, B. R. Parra-Avila and S. Szabo, *Dual generalizations of the concept of cyclicity of codes*, Advances in Mathematics of Communications, Volume 3, Number 3 (2009), 227-234.
- [3] M. Matsuoka,  *$\theta$ -polycyclic codes and  $\theta$ -sequential codes over finite fields*, International Journal of Algebra, Volume 5, Number 2 (2011), 65-70.

- [4] M. Matsuoka, *Polycyclic codes and sequential codes over finite commutative QF rings*, JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications, Volume 23, Number 1 (2011), 77-85.
- [5] J. A. Wood, *Duality for modules over finite rings and applications to coding theory*, American Journal of Mathematics, Volume 121 (1999), 555-575.

Manabu Matsuoka  
Kuwanakita-Highschool  
2527 Shimofukayabe Kuwana Mie 511-0808, JAPAN  
*E-mail:* e-white@hotmail.co.jp