

Hamiltonians for isomonodromic deformations

Daisuke Yamakawa

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science

Hiroshima University March 5, 2018

Non-autonomous Hamiltonian system

Consider a non-autonomous Hamiltonian system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial q_j}{\partial t_i} = \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial p_j}, \\ \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial t_i} = -\frac{\partial H_i}{\partial q_j} \end{cases} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

with Hamiltonians $H_i(p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n, t_1, \dots, t_m)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$).

The system corresponds to the distribution $\mathcal{H} \subset TM$ on $M := \mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^m$ spanned by the vector fields

$$X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial H_i}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} - \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \right) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

Fundamental two-form

The phase space M is a fiber bundle over the time space $B = \mathbb{C}^m$ with each fiber \mathbb{C}^{2n} equipped with the symplectic form $\sum dp_j \wedge dq_j$.

The **fundamental two-form** for the system is

$$\Omega := \sum_{j=1}^n dp_j \wedge dq_j - \sum_{i=1}^m dH_i \wedge dt_i.$$

Properties of the fundamental two-form

- (1) The restriction of Ω to each fiber is the symplectic form.
- (2) \mathcal{H} is Ω -orthogonal to any vector tangent to a fiber.
- (3) $\frac{\partial H_i}{\partial t_j} - \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial t_i} = \{H_i, H_j\}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq m$) if and only if $\mathcal{H} = \text{Ker } \Omega$, i.e., $\iota(X_i)\Omega = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$). In this case the distribution is integrable.

Fundamental two-form for IMD

Question

What are the fundamental two-forms for the **isomonodromic deformations**?

We know the fundamental two-forms for

- ▶ Various “Painlevé type” equations
(Okamoto, Noumi–Yamada, Sasano, Fuji–Suzuki–Tsuda,
Kawakami–Nakamura–Sakai, e.t.c.)
- ▶ Schlesinger equation and its higher genus version
- ▶ Jimbo–Miwa–Môri–Sato’s equation
- ▶ Simply-laced isomonodromy system

Assumption

Consider the isomonodromic deformations of a system of linear ODEs

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = A(x)y, \quad A(x) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}(x))$$

with poles $a_0 = \infty, a_1, \dots, a_m$. Put $x_0 = 1/x, x_i = x - a_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$).

Assumption

For each i the system has a **formal fundamental solution** $\widehat{Y}_i = \widehat{F}_i e^{\Lambda_i} x_i^{L_i}$, where

- ▶ $\widehat{F}_i = F_0^{(i)} + F_1^{(i)} x_i + F_2^{(i)} x_i^2 + \dots \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}[[x_i]])$.
- ▶ $\Lambda_i = \frac{\Lambda_1^{(i)}}{x_i} + \dots + \frac{\Lambda_{r_i}^{(i)}}{x_i^{r_i}}$ with each $\Lambda_j^{(i)}$ diagonal (**irregular type**).
- ▶ $L_i \in \mathfrak{h}_i := \{ X \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid [X, \Lambda_i] = 0 \}$ with $\mathrm{ad}_{L_i} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{h}_i)$ having no nonzero integral eigenvalues (**matrix of exponents of formal monodromy**).

We may further assume $F_0^{(0)} = I_n$ by normalizing A .

Local existence of IMD

Deform a_1, \dots, a_m and $\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_m$ (with small parameter $t \in \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^N$) so that

- ▶ $a_i(t) \neq a_j(t)$ ($0 \leq i < j \leq m$).
- ▶ the pole order of the difference of any two diagonal elements of each $\Lambda_i(t)$ is constant.

Along such a deformation we may deform the system $dy/dx = A(x)y$ so that

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t_i} = \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x} + [B_i, A] \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N)$$

for some matrix-valued functions $B_i(x, t)$ (**isomonodromic deformation**).

Jimbo–Miwa–Ueno's approach

For $t \in \Delta$ let \mathcal{M}_t be the set of tuples $(A(x), g_0 = I_n, g_1, \dots, g_m)$, where

- ▶ the system $dy/dx = A(x)y$ has poles at $a_0(t) = \infty, a_1(t), \dots, a_m(t)$;
- ▶ the system has the formal fundamental solution $\hat{F}_i e^{\Lambda_i(t)} x_i^{L_i}$ for some L_i and $\hat{F}_i \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}[[x_i]])$ with $\hat{F}_i(0) = g_i$.

Following Jimbo–Miwa–Ueno, we can describe the isomonodromic deformations as an Ehresmann connection (**isomonodromy connection**) on the fiber bundle

$$\mathcal{M} := \bigcup_{t \in \Delta} \mathcal{M}_t \rightarrow \Delta.$$

Each fiber \mathcal{M}_t has a canonical symplectic structure [Boalch].

Fundamental two-form for IMD

It is known that \widehat{F}_i, L_i are unique for given $(A, g_0, \dots, g_m) \in \mathcal{M}$ and so define functions on \mathcal{M} .

Theorem [Y]

For each i define a one-form θ_i on \mathcal{M} by

$$\theta_i = \operatorname{res}_{x_i=0} \operatorname{tr} \left[\left(\Lambda'_i + \frac{L_i}{x_i} \right) \widehat{F}_i^{-1} d\widehat{F}_i \right].$$

Then the two-form $\Omega := \sum_{i=0}^m d\theta_i$ satisfies the following conditions:

- ▶ the restriction of Ω to each fiber is the symplectic form.
- ▶ $\iota(X)\Omega = 0$ for any horizontal vector X .

Corollary

The isomonodromy connection on \mathcal{M} is flat and symplectic.

How to find Hamiltonians

Question

What are the Hamiltonians for the IMD?

Approach

Hiroe–Y's quiver description gives a trivialization $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M}_* \times \Delta$ of the symplectic fiber bundle $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Delta$.

Let ω_* be the symplectic form on \mathcal{M}_* and $\text{pr}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_*$ be the first projection.

If Ω is expressed as

$$\Omega = \text{pr}^* \omega_* - d\varpi, \quad \varpi = \sum_{i=1}^N H_i dt_i$$

then H_i are Hamiltonians (ϖ is called the **Hamiltonian one-form**).

Triangular decomposition

The irregular type $\Lambda_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \Lambda_j^{(i)} x_i^{-j}$ defines

$$\mathfrak{h}_j^{(i)} = \mathfrak{z}(\Lambda_{j+1}^{(i)}, \Lambda_{j+2}^{(i)}, \dots, \Lambda_{r_i}^{(i)}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, r_i - 1).$$

Since Λ_i is diagonal we may assume that each $\mathfrak{h}_j^{(i)}$ consists of block diagonal matrices

$$\text{diag}(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_l), \quad C_k \in M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$$

for some partition $n = \sum_{k=1}^l n_k$ (determined from i, j).

Let

$$\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{n}_{j,-}^{(i)} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_j^{(i)} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{j,+}^{(i)}$$

be the block triangular decomposition. It does not depend on $t \in \Delta$.

Hamiltonians

We can uniquely decompose $\widehat{F}_i = g_i F_i^- F_i^+ e^{h_i}$, where

- ▶ $F_i^\pm - I_n \in \mathfrak{n}_{1,\pm}^{(i)} x_i + \mathfrak{n}_{2,\pm}^{(i)} x_i^2 + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_{r_i-1,\pm}^{(i)} x_i^{r_i-1}$,
- ▶ $h_i = h_1^{(i)} x_i + h_2^{(i)} x_i^2 + \cdots$ with $h_j^{(i)} \in \mathfrak{h}_j^{(i)}$ for $j < r_i$.

Theorem [Y]

The one-form

$$\varpi = \sum_{i=0}^m \operatorname{res}_{x_i=0} \operatorname{tr} (h_i d_{\text{irr}} \Lambda'_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \operatorname{res}_{x_i=0} \operatorname{tr} (A^2) da_i$$

is the Hamiltonian one-form for the isomonodromy connection w.r.t. Hiroe–Y's trivialization.

Some remarks

- ▶ In general ϖ is different to the one-form of Jimbo–Miwa–Ueno

$$- \sum_{i=0}^m \operatorname{res}_{x_i=0} \operatorname{tr} \left[(\Lambda_i + L_i d \log x_i) \widehat{F}_i^{-1} \widehat{F}_i' \right].$$

- ▶ If we write $\varpi = \sum_{i=1}^N H_i dt_i$ then

$$\frac{\partial H_i}{\partial t_j} - \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial t_i} = \{H_i, H_j\} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N).$$

- ▶ Our construction looks closely related to Fedorov's description of isomonodromic deformations as **autonomous** Hamiltonian systems.