A Model for Social Influence on Topic of Users Contents Generating Behavior

Mirai Igarashi

(Work with Nobuhiko Terui, P.K. Kannan, Kunpeng Zhang)

Social influence

Social Media (Pinterest)

-> User-Generated-Contents

From people you follow





Fun and

Media Streaming (Apple Music) -> Observed adoption



Social influence on social media



<u>RQ</u>

Do social influences vary for topics of user-generated-contents?

In this research, we propose a topic model for capturing topic-specific influence

How to measure social influence?

Literature consider SI from qualitative perspective

Volume

- number of word-of-mouth (Godes & Mayzlin, 2004)
- number of peers who adopted the product (Bollinger & Gillingham, 2012)
- length of review text (Lu, Wu & Tseng, 2018)

• Valence

- average rating of product reviews (Moe, Trusov & Smith, 2011)
- emotions of review text (Wu et al., 2015)

• Variance

• variance of rating (Moe, Trusov & Smith, 2011)

How to measure social influence?

Contents perspectives have recently attracted attention

Product characteristics

- technology vs fashion-related products (Wang, Aribarg & Atchadé, 2013)
- high and low utilitarian products (Schulze, Schöler & Skiera, 2014)
- hedonic vs functional products (Park et al., 2018)

Contribution

- focus on contents generating behavior on social media
 -> above, they focus on consumers' purchase behavior
- estimate influence and its dimensions simultaneously
 -> above, we need to specify dimensions before analysis

Where do social influences occur?

Situation where SI occur is also important

- Structure of social network → don't consider (yet)
 - degree distribution (Dover, Goldenberg & Shapira, 2012)
 - cluster coefficient (Choi, Kim & Lee, 2010)
 - centrality (Cho, Wang & Lee, 2012; Susarla, Oh & Tan, 2012)
- **Tie strength** \implies only friend network
 - weak vs strong ties (Granovetter, 1973)
 - friend vs community network (Zhang & Godes, 2018; Ameri, Honka & Xie, 2019)
- Multiple network \implies only single network
 - networks of economic, social, etc. (Chen, Van der Lans & Phan, 2017)

We don't consider other situation and compare them (further research) -> ex. topic-specific influence on weak ties

Managerial impact

Digital advertisement

- Current methods consider overall social influence
- This study can take into account topicspecific influence of ad receivers, and it makes ad delivery strategy efficient



Recommendation system

- Some media streaming services (e.g. AppleMusic) incorporate recommendation system within limited space
- If we know topics on which the focal user have strong influence, we can make use of the limited space



Pinterest

ൈ

6

tolk folk



d: user t: time period (weekly) n: object index k: topic

topic
$$z_{dtn} \sim Multi(\theta'_{dt}), \qquad \theta'_{dtk} = \frac{\exp(\theta_{dtk})}{\sum_{k'} \exp(\theta_{dtk'})}$$

object $w_{dtn} | z_{dtn} = k \sim Multi(\phi_k)$



$$\theta_{dt} = \theta_{dt-1} \cdot \alpha + \sum_{f \in F_d} \bar{z}_{ft-1} \cdot \beta_{df} + \gamma_d + \delta_t + \epsilon_{dt}, \qquad \epsilon_{dt} \sim N(0, \Sigma)$$

- Homophily
 - -> Individuals tend to connect with those who are similar to them
 - -> There is positively correlation between behaviors of them and peers

Some methods for avoiding homophily problem

- Fixed effect (Nair, Manchanda & Bhatia, 2010)
- Heterogeneity (Hartmann, 2010)
- Co-evolution model (Bhattacharya et al., 2019)

$$\theta_{dt} = \theta_{dt-1} \cdot \alpha + \sum_{f \in F_d} \bar{z}_{ft-1} \cdot \beta_{df} + \gamma_d + \delta_t + \epsilon_{dt}, \qquad \epsilon_{dt} \sim N(0, \Sigma)$$

Simultaneity

- -> Agents and peers are affected by each other
- -> It causes biased estimation because of correlation between explanatory variables and error term

Some methods for avoiding simultaneity problem

- Instrument variables (Nair, Manchanda & Bhatia, 2010)
- Modeling equilibrium (Hartmann, 2010)
- Field experiment (Wang, Aribarg & Atchadé, 2013)

In this research, we assume **sparsity** for SI coefficients (Trusov, Bodapati & Bucklin, 2010)

$$\beta_{df} = \beta_d \times \zeta_{df}, \qquad \zeta_{df} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with prob. } p_d \\ 0 & \text{with prob. } 1 - p_d \end{cases}$$

$$\theta_{dt} = \theta_{dt-1} \cdot \alpha + \sum_{f \in F_d} \bar{z}_{ft-1} \cdot \beta_{df} + \gamma_d + \delta_t + \epsilon_{dt}, \qquad \epsilon_{dt} \sim N(0, \Sigma)$$

- Unobserved variables
 - -> ex. common advertising and time events for multiple agents

Some methods for avoiding simultaneity problem

- Propensity score matching (Aral, Muchnik & Sundararajan, 2009)
- Fixed effect (Nair, Manchanda & Bhatia, 2010)

No conjugacy

Gibbs sampling with Pólya-Gamma data augmentation (Polman et al., 2013)

$$p(z_{dn}|\theta_d) = \left[\prod_{n=1}^{N_d} \left(\frac{\exp(\theta_{d1})}{\sum_{k'} \exp(\theta_{dk'})}\right)^{N_{d1}} \cdots \left(\frac{\exp(\theta_{dK})}{\sum_{k'} \exp(\theta_{dk'})}\right)^{N_{dK}}\right]$$
$$\propto \frac{\exp(\psi_{dk})^{N_{dk}}}{(1+\exp(\psi_{dk}))^{N_d}}, \qquad \psi_{dk} = \theta_{dk} - \log \sum_{k' \neq k} \exp(\theta_{dk'})$$
$$= 2^{-N_d} \exp\left((N_{dk} - N_d/2)\psi_{dk}\right) \int_0^\infty \exp(-\omega_{dk}\psi_{dk}^2/2)p(\omega_{dk})d\omega_{dk}, \qquad \omega_{dk} \sim PG(N_d, 0)$$

In addition to above, we use Forward-Filtering Backward-Sampling to take into account time-dependences of θ_{dt}

Conclusion

- Conclusion
 - The proposed model captures social influence varying for topics of UGC on social media
 - Unlike existing research, it is **not necessary to determine the dimensions** social influences vary
 - -> It can be applied for various digital marketing (ad, recommend)
- Next step
 - Real data analysis
 - Validation of estimated influence (simulation or prediction)